

CRITIQUE OF CHRISTOPHER BOLLYN AND HIS INFLUENCE UPON THE TRUTH MOVEMENT

Sept. 22, 2017

Part 1: INTRODUCTION

On January 28, 2016, I attended a Chris Bollyn lecture in the town of Hagerstown, not far from where I reside in western Maryland. I was impressed that Chris was barnstorming in the boondocks, getting the word out that the official account of 9/11 is a fraud. I was also impressed by his polished power-point presentation that highlights the abundant evidence of Israeli participation in 9/11 as well as the eye-opening extent of Jewish individuals occupying positions of power in the U.S. Partly in order to support Bollyn, I then bought his book *Solving 9/11*.

As the year 2016 unfolded, I became more and more involved in the campaign to secure the release of the 28 Pages and then the enactment of the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act (JASTA). On October 23, 2016, Op-Ed News, a prominent leftist, peace activist on-line journal, published an article of mine in which I explained:

“An almost unanimous Congress just overrode for the first time an Obama veto. Coming 15 years after 9-11, this unique action by Congress exposes the government of Saudi Arabia to liability in U.S. courts for facilitating the attacks of 9-11. We are still wrestling with 9-11. Why? 9-11 initiated the Global War on Terror (GWOT) -- our current state of being in perpetual war ... The GWOT is a fraud, as is the official account of 9-11. The word is out -- certainly all over the internet with solid, verifiable, graphic information. The official account of 9-11 falls apart at every turn in its convolution. **Saudi Arabian government complicity in 9-11 is only one of many realities that upend the official account ...**

“So why the 14 years of covering up the 28 Pages, first by Bush, then by Obama? Why has the executive branch of our government (until now successfully) argued in court that Saudi Arabia should be immune from liability in the suit brought against it by the families of 9-11 victims? How is it that in April shortly after CBS's *60 Minutes* broadcast demands for release of the 28 Pages, Obama was in Riyadh genuflecting before the Saudi King who had snubbed him at the airport? How could the Senate a mere week before its 97-1 vote overriding the veto, vote 71-27 in favor of continuing to arm Saudi Arabia as it continues to pummel Yemen? Why did the President veto the law (JASTA) that exposes Saudi Arabia to liability?

“The Saudi-American military-intelligence alliance has been very close for decades. We designed Saudi military-intelligence, we train them and we equip them with weaponry. Saudi Arabia is our military industry's biggest foreign customer by far. "When President Obama secretly authorized the Central Intelligence Agency to begin arming Syria's rebels in 2013, the spy agency knew it would have a willing partner to help pay for the covert

operation. It was the same partner the CIA has relied on for decades for money and discretion in far-off conflicts: the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia" (NY Times, Jan. 23, 2016).

“The Saudi government would not, could not and did not participate in 9-11, nor does it now support terrorism (in Syria for example) without direction from and coordination with the top echelons of our own government.

“The 28 Pages substantiate that the key figure in the Saudi government involved in financing and facilitating 9-11 was Prince Bandar bin Sultan, then longstanding Ambassador to the U.S. Bandar is also known as ‘Bandar Bush,’ thus nicknamed by George W. Bush because of his longstanding and very close relationship with the Bush family ...

“The Wall Street Journal reports that since being appointed Director of the CIA by Obama in January 2013, ‘Mr. Brennan has been in periodic contact by phone with Prince Bandar.’ It does well to recognize that from 1996 to 1999, John Brennan was CIA Chief of Station in Saudi Arabia, just before he was promoted to Chief of Staff to CIA Director George Tenet, in which capacity he coordinated and covered up the CIA's torture program.

“Brennan did all he could do to prevent the release of the 28 pages ... On the same day just before the Senate was to vote on Obama's veto of JASTA, Brennan was at the Brookings Institution futilely protesting that overriding the veto would ‘have grave implications for the national security of the United States.’ ...

“The Saudi angle is only one of many facets of 9-11 that reveals ‘inside job.’ But this is the facet of 9-11 that has finally attracted congressional action, partly because Saudi Arabia continues to openly support Sunni extremism, ISIS and al Qaeda, and continues to openly conduct murderous tyranny within its borders, and partly because our ‘republic ... will fail and fall if we do not uphold the truth on this [9/11] issue,’ as expressed by the lead sponsor now in Congress, namely Republican Walter Jones of North Carolina.

“It is up to the American people to make the most of this **breakthrough**. No more ignorant dismissals of ‘conspiracy theory.’ The forces inside our own government responsible for 9-11 are in more control now than they were in 2001.”

Certainly, for starters, it was up to the Truth Movement to “make the most of this breakthrough.” No such luck. Instead, a faction within the Truth Movement did the opposite – seeking to undermine all such efforts. The rationale for this subversion largely came from Chris Bollyn.

In April, 2016, this is what Bollyn had to say:

"By hinting that the 28 pages implicate Saudi Arabia in 9/11 because of Saudi financing for some 'hijackers' is a very effective ruse in that it deflects blame from Israel. It also

supports the official myth ... '60 Minutes' is a product of CBS News, which is headed by David Rhodes, whose brother Ben is deputy national security adviser for strategic communication for President Obama. The Rhodes boys are dedicated Zionists ... The parent company of CBS News is CBS Corp., which is headed by Leslie Moonves, the great-nephew of David Ben Gurion ... It is just another layer of deception being played by the Zionists seeking to deflect blame away from **the Israelis and Zionists who, the evidence clearly shows, carried out the 9/11 atrocity and engineered the cover-up for the past 14 years.**"

See http://bollyn.com/911-2/#article_15787 titled "The 28 Pages and Other False Leads" and http://bollyn.com/911-2/#article_15786 titled "'60 Minutes' & The Secret 28 Pages of 9/11."

Kevin Barrett's views on Israel and 9/11 are closely associated with those of Bollyn's. Nevertheless, in contrast, this is what Barrett had to say on Press TV back in June, 2015: "Well, I think that if the American people actually see the information linking Saudi Arabia to the alleged 9/11 hijackers, all hell will break loose. We will learn that these alleged hijackers were being funded through the Saudi Embassy in Washington DC, [and] were getting their money from Bandar [bin Sultan], who is known here in the US as Bandar Bush ... [9/11] was the most logistically complex false flag attack ever attempted and the Saudis who are very close with elements of the American deep state that pulled off September 11 along with the Israelis are going to be the first people blamed when these 28 pages are finally released, but they won't be the last ... [T]he deep state, which is funded by more than \$1.5 trillion per year in drug money ... that deep state element has inter-penetrated with the CIA and other agencies which actually pulled off 9/11 ... Senator Rand Paul just joined the movement to declassify the 28 pages. I was just speaking with Barry Kissin, who was at the hearing where Senator Rand Paul and other high-level officials were calling for this declassification. I think if the American people demand that these 28 pages get released and get behind the few people with enough courage to lead the charge on this, including Senator Rand Paul, this could be the opening that could lead to the unraveling of the official story of 9/11. That will be a very painful thing for the United States but we need to go through that pain in order to heal." See <http://www.presstv.com/Detail/2015/06/13/415687/US-911-report-Kevin-Barrett>

Shortly after the *60 Minutes* segment on the 28 Pages was broadcast in April, 2016, this is what Barrett had to say on Press TV: "Well, this is a very exciting moment because many of us in the 9/11 truth movement have been pushing to try to get mainstream media attention to get the politicians interested in this issue for more than a decade and now suddenly we have a media feeding frenzy since the premier mainstream TV investigative journalism show *60 Minutes* covered the 28 pages scandal last week ... [T]he Saudis were not the only foreign government involved and the Israelis played a much bigger role than the Saudis did ... This is going to lead to questions about why President Bush was smoking cigars with Bandar Bush in the White House right after 9/11 celebrating. So it is just a gigantic powder keg of political dynamite waiting to explode and I can't wait till it explodes because the United States is in terrible shape right now and we need the kind of shock treatment that the 9/11 truth would bring us."

<http://www.presstv.com/Detail/2016/04/19/461509/911-Barrett-Saudi-Israel-Obama-Bush/>

Attached to this piece as **Appendix 1** are excerpts from 12 of my emails urging reasons for unity within the Truth Movement, the first such email being dated Sept. 2, 2015, one week before I was scheduled to give a presentation on the 28 Pages at the National Press Club. My fall-back position throughout the next year's emails was to plead for Truth activists at least to abstain from undermining others' efforts to take advantage of the 28 Pages/ JASTA "breakthrough." As late as June, 2017, one of Bollyn's vocal disciples was still saying: "There are many in the 9/11 Truth movement who see the 28 pages as a ploy to blame 9/11 on the Saudis and take the focus off of the real perps."

When the DC911 Truth chapter organized an event to take place on the 16th anniversary of 9/11 for which both Bollyn and I were scheduled to make presentations, I decided it was time to dissect Bollyn's main work, *Solving 9/11*. It was time for me to analyze the basis for Bollyn's extreme conspiracy theory, the theory that Israel and the Zionists control the U.S. and Europe, that they were the "real perps" of 9/11 to the exclusion of anyone else, and that the Global War on Terror is an Israeli invention designed first and foremost to realize Israeli objectives.

Part 2: BOLLYN'S LINCHPIN: PTECH

As quoted above, Bollyn claims that the Israelis and Zionists "carried out the 9/11 atrocity." In *Solving 9/11*, Bollyn repeats this claim. To be clear, when Bollyn refers to Zionists he is not referring to Zionists who just support Israel; he is talking about individuals he claims are dedicated to the State of Israel to the extent of making every major decision in their careers with Israel's interest as paramount. At the point that any "Zionist" acts on behalf of (a cabal in) his own country or makes a major decision in his own self-interest instead of Israel's, he no longer can be viewed as one of the bad guys in Bollyn's extreme conspiracy theory.

"9-11 ... was a carefully planned false-flag attack carried out by the Israeli military after years of planning and preparation." All quotes from *Solving 9/11* come from the 2011 edition that is posted at <http://bollyn.com/solving-9-11-the-book/>. Since there are no page numbers in the version at Bollyn's website, I identify the quotes by the chapter of the book where they can be found. This quote is from Chapter III.

"Did Peres use his senior position in a government of like-minded war-mongering terrorists to launch the false-flag terror attacks of 9-11 in order to coerce the United States and the West into the Zionist planned 'War on Terror?'" Ch III

So, according to Bollyn, how did Israel manage to "carry out, launch" 9/11?

“Most importantly, the terrorists who committed 9/11 through their ‘super-user’ access to the most critical computer networks of the U.S. government still have that access. The evidence indicates that this infiltration was carried out by the military intelligence agency of the State of Israel.” Ch V

“[T]he false-flag terror attack of 9/11” was “primarily a computer crime.” Ch VI

In Ch VI, Bollyn refers to his article titled "How Mossad Deceived the U.S. Military on 9/11." In that article, he states: “Mossad, Israel’s military intelligence agency, infiltrated the most sensitive computer networks in the United States through a little start-up company known as Ptech, in Quincy, Massachusetts. **Most notably, it was this infiltration that allowed the events of September 11, 2001 to occur.**”

So according to Bollyn the way Israel managed to carry out 9/11 was through Mossad’s infiltration of Ptech. Ptech was an “Israeli-controlled Mossad front company.” Ch V

But before Bollyn can go from “Mossad front company” to the carrying out of 9/11, he has to show that Ptech controlled “the most sensitive computer networks in the United States.” His source for this necessary step in his logic is the principled and courageous whistleblower named Indira Singh. Bollyn’s Chapter XIII titled “Ptech, 9/11 and the Financial Collapse” relies heavily upon Indira Singh’s revelations.

“The person who first brought public awareness to the Ptech problem was a ‘risk architect’ named Indira R. Singh, who ... was working with JP Morgan Chase on 9/11. Singh is very knowledgeable about Ptech and what such enterprise software can do.” Ch XIII.

[Bollyn, a history major in college, makes no claim that he himself has any understanding of “what such enterprise software can do.” Singh is an expert.]

“I recommend reading ‘The Story of Indira Singh’ from an interview she did in April 2005. This is one of the most informative and least biased interviews she has done. It's rather long, but well worth reading for anyone interested in understanding 9/11 ...” Ch XIII.

[Bollyn proceeds for the balance of his Ch XIII to quote extensively from this interview that was conducted by Bonnie Faulkner on her “Guns & Butter” program. He leaves out of his extensive quoting of Singh her analysis of who and what Ptech was, except to include one mention that according to Singh “Ptech was a CIA front.”]

Let’s take Bollyn up on his recommendation that we read Bonnie Faulkner’s interview of Indira Singh in its entirety, accessible at <http://ourworldinbalance.blogspot.com/2005/04/story-of-indira-singh.html> In the excerpts below, “B:” denotes a question by Bonnie and “S:” denotes Singh’s responses.

B: ... [A]ll of this time before 9/11 and subsequently, you were working. Where were you working?

S: September 11th I was a senior consultant for JPMorganChase. I had cycled through several of their Risk areas as an enterprise architect, or an information architect, technology architect... So it's pretty high-level, we call it the CXO level, or the Chief Information Officer, Chief Technology Officer levels and there are disciplines and methodologies and very esoteric software that's used to manage this ... I also worked for a small company in Washington, D.C. that was doing some very innovative work regarding technology interoperability ... we were seeking funding from Incutel which was the CIA's information technology seeking arm ...

B: This sounds like it's highly technical.

S: ... it's highly technical but what's interesting about this is it requires you to understand the business inside out ... So, you needed a pretty smart piece of software to think about this ... to keep track of what was going on in the organization, and to make sure that every system was in sync ...

B: Now, Indira while you were developing this software you had to go to an outside vendor, right? ...

S: ... you can get some off the shelf software, but for the most important pieces of it you might have to go to a specialty house ... The most important piece that we wanted to have developed was not found in Microsoft or IBM, however it was found with a small company ...

B: So who did you wind up consulting with or choosing to work on this software with you?

S: I went to the gurus in the industry including those in D.C. who were in enterprise architecture which is a pretty select field, pretty small niche, fairly high-level people ... They recommended this company that was based in Boston, actually a suburb of Boston, Quincy, by the name of P-Tech, which stands for 'Process Technology'. ... I had utilized consultants prior, who had used P-Tech and were very familiar with it and spoke highly of the capabilities of [its] software, and so I moved ahead with it, especially when I found out they had forged an alliance with IBM and IBM was basically their corporate 'big brother' ...

B: So now, you invited them over for a business meeting to see whether or not you wanted to hire them?

S: ... we had pretty much a lot of consensus that this was the thing to do, bring them in ... [so we] arranged that they would have a one-day session with us and would provide a presentation to a group of high-level people in risk and other corporate departments that I was responsible for assembling ... A lot of emails confirming who would be there, security clearances, their backgrounds, what would happen pretty much on an hour-to-hour basis [during this one-day session].

B: So Indira, how did your meeting [in May, 2002] with P-Tech go?

S: Well, they came a little late, immediately there were some issues with how the day would proceed, for instance, they showed up without the agreed on software in hand. The most important thing about it is that their chief scientist, Dr. Hussein Ibrahim came. He's an Egyptian-American and he had a very good reputation in the field, very bright, someone you would like working with. Very knowledgeable. But they had showed up without the software ... that was a huge enough red flag ... within half an hour I began calling... to the same people who had recommended them ... I said to one of them, 'I have the P-Tech people here' and the reaction was not the reaction I would have ever

expected. It was, 'What are they doing on site!?' 'Well, you recommended them...' and they said, 'No, you should come through a distributor, an American distributor.' And I said ... if we're going to go with this software as a standard, we're gonna go right to the source, and make the agreements there. So I said, 'What is the problem?' and basically this person said, 'Don't let them out of your sight.' And that's when my stomach sank ... I have a Middle Eastern company here, and we're taught not to discriminate ...

B: What do you mean P-Tech was a 'Middle Eastern' company?

S: Well, that's what subsequently was revealed in the phone calls, that their financiers, their founder, their investors, were all Saudis. And I said, 'So? What?' And they said, 'One Saudi has been placed on the US terror list, October 12, 2001.' ... This is not something I could ignore ... This is risk management, the highest levels of one of the largest banks in the world, it is my responsibility to deal with this. And I said, 'How can I get proof of this?'" And that's when they started saying, you need to talk to Jeff Goins, one of 3 people in P-Tech who knew of this relationship. You see, it was that well hidden within P-Tech. And so I subsequently called Jeff Goins ... That someone who has been placed on the US terror list is Key Funder, Angel Investor, to a company whose software is utilized at the highest levels of almost every government and military and defense organization in this country, including, the Secret Service, the FBI, the Department of Defense, the House of Representatives, the Treasury Dept., the IRS, the US Navy, the USAF, and, last but not least, the FAA.

B: Are you saying these were all P-Tech clients?

S: These were all P-Tech clients, so when I was evaluating them, I was pretty impressed. ... Exactly, they're being at the highest levels of all of these organizations, so I was very excited about using them and having their software be able to be at the heart of what I wanted to develop. And I had no reason to believe that if they were in use everywhere, at that caliber, that I would have a problem. They were also used at Enron, perhaps I should thought twice about that, but uhm, they're in use at IBM of course, and the top accounting firms, and even in the FBI ...

B: So what about the meeting then, did they leave?

S: No. Because basically my position was until I had proof, I could not react ... However, the one thing that was true is that the chief investor, Sheik Yassin Kadi, was indeed placed on the US terror list, because while I was still talking to them, while they were still there, I checked out a website that had a list of everyone who had been placed on the terror list. The missing piece was of course proving that Sheik Yassin Kadi was indeed affiliated with P-Tech, was an owner of P-Tech ...

B: Then did you start investigating the company?

S: Yes, what happened next was, I spoke with Jeff Goins and he told me that basically not only was Yassin Kadi an investor, but that a Yacub Mirza was on the Board of Directors and he had been the subject of Operation Green Quest. Many of his Herndon, Virginia vehicles and companies, and financing companies had been raided in March, 2002 ... and I said, 'Have you reported any of this to the FBI?' And the answer came back, 'Yes. I wrote a report to the FBI.'

B: Now this person you were discussing this with, Jeff Goins? Was he an employee of P-Tech?

S: Yes, he was. He was one of the key people at P-Tech. He held several important positions, he traveled to Saudi Arabia and he had met with Yassin Kadi and he had met

with most of the ambassadors. His last position was as Vice President of Sales, which for a small company is pretty significant. He worked with P-Tech, helping build the company for 5 years, and he was the one that was based in Virginia who was responsible for getting a lot of the government accounts. With of course, Oussama Ziade, who was the President. Oussama Ziade is a Lebanese American, who according to Jeff got his citizenship under very questionable circumstances, which involves the INS ... Jeff did get the agents at the FBI, the Boston FBI office to call me back ... we spoke to the FBI agent who had picked up the information that Jeff had reported on P-Tech when Yassin Kadi was placed on the terror list in October, 2001 ... [I said to him] this country's infrastructure is seriously exposed ... And what he said was [the FBI is not going to investigate further.] And I asked him to check with his supervisor ... the supervisor said that the position wouldn't change. And the Boston FBI office... it was rated as one of the worst in terms of corruption ... [R]ead Peter Lance's book, "Cover-Up", he explores it very well, and it backs up a lot of what I had found here, the interaction between organized crime and the FBI ... [The FBI agent did send] me a videotape. And the videotape, which I have here, the substance of the videotape was a news clip. It was a news clip of a CBS affiliate based in Boston called WBZTV, and their investigative reporting team, the 'I-Team', which was led by investigative reporter, Joe Bergantino ... He had created this clip to show the connection between the 9/11 terror attack and the financial vehicles that were supposedly used to fund it ... the I-Team connected [the Arab charity front] CARE International ... to Maktab al Khidamar, which means 'the Office' which was a financing vehicle that was set up by the CIA, for the Pakistani ISI, back in the days when Osama bin Laden was America's fair-haired boy, and was on our side fighting with the Mujahadin, fighting the Soviet Union. So, the question to me was, my goodness, what was Maktab al Khidamar doing being run out of P-Tech on 9/11? And the reason I say being 'run out of P-Tech' is that the faces in the videotape were the faces of core employees at P-Tech. Now, remember, this is a small company, there are only one or two people who had access to the source code at P-Tech and that is a very trusted position, and he was one of them, his name was Suheil Laheir ... some of them were actually on a FBI terror watch list prior to 9/11, in Boston ... Maktab al Khidamar was set up so that monies could be passed to Osama bin Laden ... Now, I won't go into a lot of detail but it ended up that Osama bin Laden took that over, and was running Al Qaeda through that. The connections to the Pakistani ISI still stood, the connections to the CIA still stood, not in the way that was originally set up, but through a black or a gray operation ... it was clear to me that there was no way P-Tech could have done all of this without a lot of inside help ... Who for instance signed off on the Ziade's citizenship without doing background checks? Who said that they 'had a bad feeling' doing that? ... I remember that P-Tech's competitors, US companies, were extremely annoyed at the fact that they could not get equal time, all the plum contracts were going to a foreign-owned company ... [One of the competitors] said 'Indira, everyone knew that they were Saudi-owned and that meant that they got favorable treatment on Capitol Hill.' ...

B: What else did your investigation of P-Tech turn up? Didn't you meet with several employees or former employees of P-Tech?

S: Yes, this goes back to when all of this was being revealed to me, this is the last week of May, 2002.... Lo and Behold, out of nowhere the Chicago FBI enters the picture. We have agent Robert Wright of the Chicago FBI, he's giving congressional testimony and

he stands on the steps of the Capitol, bursts into tears, apologizes to the 9/11 families, victims, that he didn't do everything he could to prevent 9/11 from happening. That his investigations were repeatedly shut down. And I almost fell over, because he announced that his investigation was into Yassin Kadi, the same Sheik Yassin Kadi who was the money man behind P-Tech. And you could not ask for a more direct connection to 9/11 than that. I will even discount the fact that some ex-P-Tech employees told me... when I went to see them I presented all the terror list faces and they indicated that they had seen some pass through P-Tech, in fact one or two had mentioned that they thought one of the hijackers had actually passed through P-Tech ... [W]hen P-Tech was finally raided in December, 2002, something that took all of 6 months, a tremendous amount of agony to have happen, the White House, Ari Fleischer spun it to find sugar **that day**. He said, 'There's nothing wrong. Nothing to see here, everything's fine.' So they did a token raid and that was basically it ... [A]fter agent Wright had appeared on the steps of the Capitol, I went down to Virginia, I got all the information together, I had the P-Tech people actually write it out, so it wouldn't be, 'Indira says' ... And I had emails, documentation, photographs, photographs that flew around the world, plastered all over network TV, that's what I got from these people, and I interviewed a bunch of scared people ... I took what I had to everyone. Before P-Tech was ever raided, before it ever became public, I took it all the way up to the top of the FBI. I took it everywhere ...

B: What was their response?

S: Uhm, 'Shut up and go away, or you will be killed.' Basically. ... See, when the Boston FBI sent me that tape that Joe Bergantino had run the story on, I looked at the tape and it was all P-Tech people... I was really scared. And I contacted Joe Bergantino to tell him I've been threatened, people had been in my house, I'm a 9/11 survivor, I can't back down on this, and if anything happens to me, the story that you did... did you know that these people worked at P-Tech?' He said, 'We had some suspicion, we didn't know.' And I said, 'Well let me tell you what P-Tech does...' When I went out there and I spoke to them in June, 2002, they thought I had a flowerpot growing out of my head. They didn't believe me at all. I said, 'You've done the original story, you just don't realize that they're connected to corporate America and the government in this way...' So what they did is they initiated their own investigation, and their own investigation, they came back to me and said, 'We're sorry for having doubted you, it's not only as bad as you said, it's much, much worse.' This is a CBS affiliate by the way... and they had interviewed me and taped me and this is August, 2002, they had said, 'We're going to run this story on the one-year anniversary of 9/11.' And I was horrified, I begged them I said, 'Please do not delay, get it out now.' And they said, 'No, it's not gonna play well in August, because everyone's on vacation...' I was in tears. And I said, 'They're gonna shut this down. People are gonna talk, they're gonna find out about it and shut you down.' And sure enough, they did. There were 7 networks that caught wind of this story, and they shut down the investigation. Their excuse was that hey, ya know, P-Tech is everywhere... we need to find out if we do anything to them, what will they do to our infrastructure? ... I then embarked on my own campaign. I had ... a pretty good email list of people in D.C., and I said, 'You know what, I'm gonna send this report exposing P-Tech and their connections to terrorism to 10 Chief Information Officers a week until P-Tech is raided.' And I began calling people. And I began sending things out, I began scheduling appointments and showing up and instead of talking about Enterprise

Architecture, I was talking about, “What software do you use?” And I watched more people’s faces turn absolutely white, I watched people get almost physically ill, and I watched them circle the wagons... and I’m talking about major chemical companies, major energy companies, and one of the CIO’s of the DOD.... But, within a week after that, P-Tech was raided and Ari Fleischer said, ‘There’s nothing wrong with the company.’ I watched my life go down the drain.

B: So what about P-Tech now, I mean, are they still in use?

S: They’re still in use... they’ve renamed themselves, they’re called ‘Go Agile’ and they’re still going...

B: And what kind of response did you get from JPMorganChase ...?

S: Well, they had told me that I should be killed for getting all this evidence... I was intimidated ... [T]he person who had intimidated me, the 3rd highest ranking person in the bank, the General Auditor, so this has gone all the way up to the top, they were very aware of it, and that they were going to deny using P-Tech at JPMorganChase ... I was summarily terminated [by JPMorganChase on] June 28th, 2002 ...

B: Where do you think this is headed?

S: Not any place good for people. And it isn’t just going to be America, it is going to be global.

The above excerpts are a small fraction of this essential interview. On Oct. 14, 2011, one of the most potent exponents of the Truth in alternative media, namely James Corbett, broadcast an episode titled “Ptech and the 9/11 Software.” See <https://www.corbettreport.com/ptech-and-the-911-software/> Like Bollyn does in *Solving 9/11*, Corbett also includes extensive excerpts from Singh’s Guns & Butter interview, but unlike Bollyn, does not leave out Singh’s powerful evidence of Ptech being a Saudi company -- working with the CIA.

Corbett supplements this with an article by Dr. Rachel Ehrenfeld titled “[The Business of Terror](#)” that reads in part: “On May 11, 2005 Muhamed Mubayyid was arrested and charged in Boston’s district court for filing false tax returns on behalf of Care International ... Mubayyid was also the Customer Services Manager of the company known as PTech ... Mubayyid is only the latest of PTech’s top investors and managers to run afoul with the law ... [he] was charged for ... conspiring to defraud the U.S. by misrepresenting Care’s activities which involved ‘the solicitation and expenditure of funds to support and promote the Mujahidin and Jihad, including the distribution of pro-Jihad publications’ ... Indeed, on October 12, 2001, [Sheik] Yassin al-Qadi, Ptech’s top investor at that time, was listed by the US government as a specially designated global terrorist for his support of al-Qaeda. al-Qadi invested at least \$18 million directly in Ptech, \$5 million through the Isle of Man, and \$9 million indirectly through BMI, a now-defunct New Jersey-based Islamic investment firm with connections to other members on Ptech’s management and investors. al-Qadi also transferred \$2 million USD to Ptech from Switzerland between 1997 and 2000, according to Swiss investigators ... al-Qadi’s businesses extend throughout the world, and included banking, diamonds, chemicals, construction, transportation, and real estate. It would be hard to find a more strategically placed individual to advance the agenda of al-Qaeda, or any other terrorist organization.

al-Qadi is still at large, and according to recent media reports, expanding his business in Asia.”

Corbett further supplements with what he calls another “incredibly well-researched article” from TheAmericanMonitor.com titled “Ptech Owner’s Assets Confiscated in Albania” that reads in part: ““The Albanian government has seized the assets of a wealthy Saudi that for several years reportedly maintained simultaneous connections to both al-Qaeda and the U.S. government while serving the interests of the CIA ... Despite his alleged affiliations to terrorism, al-Qadi has maintained concurrent contacts within influential Washington circles. In fact, prior to being publicly connected to money laundering and terrorist financing, al-Qadi regularly spoke of his relationship with Vice President Dick Cheney. al-Qadi, who has been identified as one of Osama bin Laden’s ‘chief money launderers,’ owned a prominent U.S. technology firm and alleged CIA front known as Ptech. He also escorted U.S. officials around during their visits to Saudi Arabia. As reported by the Associated Press, al-Qadi ‘allegedly worked with Osama bin Laden to provide support to terror networks in Albania,’ prompting the recent confiscation of his assets in that country ... One charity to allegedly launder money in Albania for the al-Qaeda network was Yasin al-Qadi’s Muwafaq Foundation. Khalid bin Mahfouz, an extremely influential and wealthy Saudi who ‘established and funded’ the Muwafaq Foundation, was once the principal shareholder and director of BCCI, a criminal enterprise used by the CIA during the 1980s to funnel cash to Osama bin Laden for the anti-Soviet jihad in Afghanistan ... ‘The role of the Albanian Mafia, which is tightly connected to the KLA, is laundering money, providing technology, safe houses, and other support to terrorists within this country’ ... This may help explain why, according to FBI whistleblower Robert Wright, his investigation into Yasin al-Qadi during the 1990s was ‘intentionally and repeatedly thwarted and obstructed’ by higher ups at the FBI. According to Agent Wright, who seized \$1.4 million directly linked to al-Qadi in 1998, ‘FBI intelligence agents lied and hid vital records from criminal agents for the purpose of obstructing his criminal investigation ...’ as reported by the group representing Wright, Judicial Watch.

In the excerpts of Singh’s interview set forth above, Singh recounts a conversation with Joe Bergantino, lead investigative reporter for a CBS affiliate, in which she refers to herself as “a 9/11 survivor” who “can’t back down” on her investigation of Ptech. What Singh means by “a 9/11 survivor” is that she resided close to Ground Zero in Manhattan and that if she wasn’t running late on the morning of 9/11, she would have been attending a meeting on the 106th floor of the North Tower out of which no one survived. In case the reader of this article has any doubts about the integrity and courage of Indira Singh, I have set forth in an **Appendix 2** excerpts from her Guns & Butter interview that are unrelated to Ptech, in which Singh recounts her experience of serving as a volunteer Emergency Medical Technician at Ground Zero from the morning of 9/11 for five or six days until she got so sick that a friend had to remove her. Singh’s account of her personal experience of 9/11 contains many illuminating details.

What has happened with Indira Singh since 2005 when she did the Guns & Butter interview? James Corbett reports that “she has gone underground ... presumably as a way

of staying safe while she continues to research.” It appears that the last time she surfaced was in 2008 to [write a memorial](#) to Michael Corbin “who died under mysterious circumstances in March of that year.” Michael Corbin had conducted several radio interviews with Singh “in which she went even further into naming the names behind Ptech, and her investigations into the global terrorist financing ring.” Unfortunately those interviews can no longer be accessed through the internet.

But let’s get back to Bollyn. At the end of his Ch VI, because Singh contradicts his critical assertion that Ptech was a Mossad front, Bollyn resorts to this: “Singh [was] apparently tasked as spin-master to pin the blame on Arabs and a nebulous cabal at the CIA in order to distract investigators from the Israeli connection to Ptech. Were Singh, Hecht, Kane, and [Michael] Ruppert intentionally spreading disinformation about Ptech? This appears to have been the case. But who were they working for?”

“[H]ow do Hecht and Singh fit in? Who are they and who do they really work for in their apparent disinformation campaign?” Ch XII

In his Ch VII, Bollyn asks: “Why did [Singh] promote the Arab terrorism story without any evidence other than baseless accusations provided by Zionist insiders?”

I find all this inexcusable. Bollyn must have assumed that most of his readers would not take him up on his recommendation that they read the entire Guns & Butter interview, and he was probably right about that. But did Bollyn read the interview? How could he refer to Singh’s intensive years-long investigation involving multiple meetings with many Ptech employees, meetings and direct conversations with major Ptech customers, meetings and direct meetings with others high up in the select field of “Enterprise Architecture,” meetings and direct conversation with FBI agents, and close cooperation with investigative media, mainstream and alternative – how could he **honestly** refer to all that as the source of purely “baseless accusations by Zionist insiders”?

Now let’s look at the quality of Bollyn’s evidence that “Ptech's Arab identity was fake; it was nothing but an Arab ‘cut out.’ Ptech was, in fact, an Israeli-controlled Mossad front company, made to look like an Arab-owned company.” Ch VI

I have reviewed every reference to Ptech in the entire 2011 edition of Solving 9/11. Bollyn’s “evidence” is pathetic. Throughout the book, Bollyn repeats the same thing over and over, hardly varying his words, sometimes embellishing without any apparent basis.

“When I turned my attention to Ptech, I soon discovered that the real key person involved in the development of the company was a Zionist Jewish lawyer named Michael Goff from Worcester, Massachusetts. Goff also worked for an Israeli-run computer security company called Guardium.” Ch V

[Bollyn provides no basis for his allegation that Goff was “the real key person involved in the development of the company” nor that Goff was a Zionist.]

“Goff’s father and grandfather had been high-level Masons in the Worcester lodge of B’nai B’rith, a secret Jewish Masonic group formed in New York City in 1843. Goff obviously lied to me about how he had gotten involved with Ptech in 1994 when he said that he had wound up becoming the manager of the company through a temporary agency.” Ch V

[B’nai B’rith simply is not a “secret Jewish Masonic group.” It’s not secret and it’s not Masonic. It is Jewish. It does support Israel and it does confront the persecution of Jews. It also participates in substantial humanitarian efforts. For most of the thousands of its members it is a venue for fellowship. How Bollyn knows that Goff’s father and grandfather were “high-level Masons” or what that proves is anyone’s guess. As will become clear, Goff was not the “manager of the company.” Bollyn provides no basis for saying that Goff “obviously lied” about being placed by a “temporary agency.”]

“Ptech, as I discovered, was from the beginning controlled by a Jewish lawyer from Worcester, Massachusetts, named Michael S. Goff. It is very clear that Goff worked for Mossad, because the prize client of his PR firm was a Mossad-owned computer security company named Guardium.” Chapter VI (whose title is “Who Controls Our Elections?") [Bollyn provides no basis for his allegation that Goff “controlled” Ptech, nor that Guardiam was “the prize client” of Goff’s “PR firm,” nor that Goff did anything else for Guardam beyond Public Relations.]

“[I]t didn’t take me very long to find that Ptech was controlled by an agent of Israeli intelligence.” Ch VI

[Here Goff becomes an “agent of Israeli intelligence.”]

“The Mossad handler at Ptech was, **in my opinion**, an American named Michael S. Goff, who is disingenuous about what he did, when he worked at, and when he left, Ptech. It should be noted that Ptech ‘got on its feet in 1994.’ Goff implies that he left Ptech when Goff Communications began in 1994; certainly not true.” Ch VI

[Here, Bollyn departs from pronouncing unassailable fact and instead refers to his Goff theory as a matter of his “opinion.” Mind you, Goff is practically Bollyn’s only witness. But when Goff tells Bollyn anything inconsistent with his theory, Goff becomes “disingenuous.” Bollyn does not offer anything about when Goff left Ptech, he just knows without any apparent basis that it is “certainly not true” that Goff left when he “implied” that he did.]

“Here is what Michael Goff’s website says about his work there: ‘Michael was marketing manager at Ptech, Inc., a leading provider of business process modeling, design and development software. In this capacity, Michael managed various marketing programs and activities including public relations, direct mail, Web development, collateral, trade shows and seminars. Additionally, Michael worked closely with the Ptech sales organization to perform competitive analysis as well as manage lead tracking and fulfillment activities. When Michael first joined Ptech, he shared responsibilities between marketing and information systems for the company. As information systems manager, Michael handled design, deployment and management of its Windows and Macintosh, data, and voice networks. As part of this effort, Michael developed Lotus Notes-based

systems for sales and marketing lead tracking and IS service and support requests. Michael also performed employee training and handled all procurement for software, systems and peripherals.’ Ch VI

[Bollyn tries to make much of the statement on Goff’s website that “when Goff “first joined Ptech” (in 1994), he managed “information systems” and handled software “procurement.” This software appears to be the normal software (Windows, Macintosh, Lotus) involved in operating an office. As you will see below, for Bollyn, this software becomes the “very esoteric software” (expert Singh’s words) produced by Ptech that ultimately (Bollyn doesn’t know when) infected American military-intelligence-FAA computer systems.]

“From Goff Communications website, you will notice that **one of his current prize** clients is an Israeli company known as Guardium.” Ch VI

[Current? Does Bollyn have any evidence that Guardium was one of Goff’s “prize” clients substantially before 9/11 or was this only as of when Bollyn looked at Goff’s website?]

“[Guardium] is located less than 5 miles from Hanscom AFB, site of MIT's Lincoln Labs and about the same distance from Boston's Logan Airport. The Israeli presence can be seen all over MIT and Boston.” Ch VI

[Well that’s mighty powerful evidence.]

“There were Zionist agents on the inside [of the U.S. Gov’t] who accepted software from Mossad agents like the Zionist lawyer from Worcester, Michael S. Goff.” Ch VI

“An Israeli-owned firm will only disguise itself as an Arab-owned company when it is playing a part in a false-flag operation, like 9/11. The purpose of the Arab disguise is to put up a false front to hide the real people involved in the operation. This is, for example, exactly the kind of disguise that was used by Ptech ...” Ch VI-A (whose title is “The Florida Connection.”)

[Bollyn provides no basis for his allegation that Ptech was “Israeli-owned” nor does he wonder why or how all of the prominent Arabs described in detail by Indira Singh would so fully participate in a Mossad-designed “disguise.”]

“My discovery in 2005 that the Ptech software company from Quincy, Massachusetts, was actually a disguised Israeli intelligence operation is key to understanding the role of Israeli military intelligence in the crimes of 9/11. This is a discovery that has helped reveal other key people in the Israeli network behind the crime. Michael Goff was the key person at Ptech when it was founded in 1994. Goff, a young Zionist lawyer from Worcester, Massachusetts, subsequently worked for Guardium, an Israeli software company tied to military intelligence. This is the crucial connection that has helped expose the larger Israeli military intelligence network working behind the 9/11 scene.”

Ch VII

[This is Bollyn’s linchpin and it’s pathetic.]

“The discovery that Goff was actually the key person at Ptech, that he was Ptech's original marketing director, and that he was the person responsible for ‘procuring’ software for Ptech should have demolished the Arab myth.” Ch VII
[See my comment above about “procuring software.”]

“I don't have specific information about exactly when Goff's relationship with Israeli military intelligence began, but I would assume it began sometime prior to 1994 when he suddenly left his law practice and began working ‘for the Arabs’ at Ptech.” Ch VII

“In real life, Goff played the "cut-out" at Ptech; he was the American connection between the Israeli military programmers and the crucial computer networks of the U.S. military.” Ch VII

“What Hecht, Kane, and Singh overlooked in their investigation of Ptech was the conspicuous role played by Michael Goff, a Zionist Jewish lawyer from Worcester, Massachusetts, who had suddenly left his law practice to manage Ptech, a start-up company headed by a Lebanese Muslim. How much sense does that make?” Ch XII

“Goff was clearly not just a simple Jewish lawyer who wanted to help a Lebanese immigrant promote his software company. This is probably why Goff could not tell me the name of the agency which had placed him with Ptech; the agency was most likely a branch of Israeli military intelligence.” Ch XII

“Goff appears to have been the conduit, the personal link through which malicious Israeli spyware was loaded onto U.S. government computers.” Ch XII

“My research found that one of the key people involved in the founding of Ptech was a Jewish lawyer from Worcester, Massachusetts, named Michael S. Goff. Goff's father and grandfather had both been highest level Freemasons in the Zionist secret society of B'nai B'rith. Michael was Ptech's first marketing manager and all-around general director in 1994, according to his own published statements about his role with the company. He was responsible for all hiring, training, and procurement for the company.” Ch XIII
[Bollyn doesn't expect his readers to go back to Ch VI (copied above) where Bollyn sets forth Goff's ‘own published statements about his role with the company.’ There is nothing there about ‘founding’ Ptech or being an ‘all-around general director’ or being responsible for any hiring, much less all hiring. According to “his published statements,” Goff did some training and some procurement.]

Fabrication aside, all this amounts to the substitution of repetition for substance – a standard propaganda technique.

According to Bollyn, Michael Goff is the key to how Israel and Mossad “carried out the 9/11 atrocity.” Given the magnitude of this public accusation, I did not expect to find the Michael Goff of today readily accessible through the internet. But lo and behold, Michael Goff is enrolled at LinkedIn. His profile can be examined at <https://www.linkedin.com/in/mgoff/> Bollyn provides no basis to believe that this profile

is in any way inaccurate or misleading. Apparently, Michael still resides in the Boston area. He has been “Marketing Director” at Revulytics, Inc. since 2007 where he is responsible for “corporate and product marketing.” Goff lists as part of his experience his tenure at Ptech, as “**Marketing Manager” from April 1994 to June 1996**. Indeed he went on to be a “Partner” with Goff Communications, LLP, from 1996 to 2005. Under a partial list of that (former) company’s clients we find Authentica, Ensure Technologies, InSite One ((acquired by Dell in 2010) and Raytheon Wireless Solutions.

I will repeat the quote by Bollyn set forth at the beginning of this Part 2: “Most notably, it was this infiltration [by Mossad of Ptech] that allowed the events of September 11, 2001 to occur.” Upon examination, this linchpin of his analysis collapses.

Since this article is largely addressed to Truth Movement activists who have been misled by Bollyn, I will make the further point that this examination of Bollyn’s Ptech theory exposes his defective methods of supporting other aspects of his “extreme conspiracy theory.”

Part 3: BOLLYN’S EXTREME CONSPIRACY THEORY

In this part, I do not want to invite debate. I mean for Part 2 and Appendix 2 to contain all the debate in this article. I have another goal in mind set forth in my conclusion that will not be achievable through debate. All I will do in this Part 3 is to quote Bollyn. I ask that while you are reading these statements, be critical, consider questions. I will suggest some questions.

“9-11 ... was a carefully planned false-flag attack carried out by the Israeli military after years of planning and preparation.” Ch III of *Solving 9/11*

[Did the Bush family (W., H.W., and Jeb) play any part in the planning and carrying out and covering up of 9/11? Are the Bushes dedicated Zionists, Israel Firsters? How about John Brennan, George Tenet, Dick Cheney, Condoleeza Rice, General Myers, General Eberhart, Colin Powell, Don Rumsfeld, Henry Kissinger, Rudy Guliani – did they have anything to do with the planning or carrying out or covering up of 9/11? Are they all the dedicated Zionist type, Israel Firsters?]

“Did Peres use his senior position in a government of like-minded war-mongering terrorists to launch the false-flag terror attacks of 9-11 in order to **coerce** the United States and the West into the Zionist planned ‘War on Terror?’” Ch III

[Or maybe John Brennan, George Tenet, Dick Cheney, Condoleeza Rice, General Myers, General Eberhart, Colin Powell, Don Rumsfeld ... were coerced?]

“The Zionist motive was to kick-start their long-planned U.S.-led ‘War on Terror’ with a spectacular terror attack against the United States.” Ch III

“[T]he War on Terror [is] a war agenda being waged by the United States and its allies on behalf of Israel.” This is from Bollyn’s chapter in *Orlando False Flag* edited by Kevin Barrett (Sifting and Winnowing Books, August 26, 2016).

[Is the United States at all waging the *Global War on Terror* on its own behalf (its oil companies, its MIC, etc.) or only on behalf of Israel?]

“With the passage of time, it has become quite clear that 9-11 was carried out in order to kick-start the perverse Zionist *Zeitgeist* known as the global "War on Terror" with its pre-planned wars of aggression, conquest, and occupation.” Ch II

“The official version is nothing but a pack of lies. This would mean that the members of the criminal network [responsible for 9/11] are still occupying the highest positions of power in the U.S., Israel, and other nations.” Ch II

[Here Bollyn contradicts himself unless he believes that all the highest positions of power “in the U.S., Israel, and other nations” are occupied by criminals only of the dedicated Zionist variety.]

“The Zionist-controlled media has purposely misled the public about 9-11 for the same reason as the Zionist judges, investigators, and commissioners: to protect the evidence of Israeli involvement from being exposed.” Ch II

[Was there any American involvement? Does the “Zionist-controlled media” conceal anything other than Israeli involvement?]

“I have pursued the leads of Israeli involvement simply because the evidence indicates that the Israeli military and intelligence had prior knowledge and involvement in 9-11.” Ch II

[This quote captures Bollyn’s fundamental flaw. Evidence of prior knowledge and involvement (of which there is no doubt) does not demonstrate exclusive control or ultimate control.]

“If the evidence indicated that Saudis, Pakistanis, or even Jesuits were behind the attacks, I would investigate them.” Ch II

[No he didn’t.]

“It is also well known that Israeli gangs are heavily involved in illicit drug smuggling. A disproportionately large number of Israelis have been arrested in Europe and the United States.” Ch II

[Disproportionately? Which proportion does he have in mind? The proportion of Israeli population to American is one to 40. (The proportion of Israeli GNP to American is one to 50.)]

The title of Bollyn’s Chapter VI is “Who Controls Our Elections?”

[Guess what Bollyn’s answer is.]

“Some of the companies involved in [counting votes in] U.S. elections ... are owned or controlled by Israeli military intelligence. Again in 2008 the Voxeo results of the Iowa

caucus gave the dark horse candidate Barack Obama an unexpected victory. In many cases, the election software is controlled by the Israelis, and through back doors they are able to manipulate the results.” Ch VI

[Wow. I do wonder why the Israelis would have put Obama into power over McCain.]

“So, who really controls American elections? Ask Shimon Peres or Ehud Olmert or the director of Mossad, but don't expect the Zionist-controlled media in the United States to tell you. The stealing of American elections is primarily a computer crime, as was the false-flag terror attack of 9/11.” Ch VI

[So now we are talking Ptech again – that Arab cut-out, Mossad front Ptech.]

“The rising faction I noticed taking control in the 1980s was primarily Jewish by ethnicity, and Zionist by ideology.” Ch VII

[I see. So this control by the Zionists started in the 1980s? Before that, when they weren't subject to control by the Zionists, did the British Empire or the American Empire on their own engage in any false-flag attacks or balkanization, I mean the strategy of divide and conquer?]

“There is evidence of an Israeli military intelligence matrix connecting every key player and entity behind 9/11.” Ch VII

[Really? You can't think of any “key player” who is not part of the “Israeli military intelligence matrix”?]

“If it were truly an Arabic, Islamic, or even American criminal network [behind 9/11], it would have been exposed long ago.” Ch VII

[Was there an American criminal network behind 9/11? And you believe that if there were such a thing, it “would have been exposed long ago”?]

“Like a subservient colony, the United States provides hundreds of thousands of young men and women to fight and die as mercenaries in Zionist-planned wars in the Middle East.” Ch VIII

“The U.S.-led invasion and occupation of Iraq, an illegal war of aggression, is clearly a Zionist-planned war in which Americans and other mercenaries actually do the fighting -- and dying.” Ch VIII

[Any non-Zionist Americans involved in the planning, you know like Americans interested in Iraqi oil?]

“Where is the spiritual, intellectual, and political resistance to this blatant exploitation of Americans and their national resources by a tiny foreign state with a militant and racist ideology?” Ch VIII

[Is this related to the absence of “spiritual, intellectual, and political resistance” to the American war machine?]

“How has Zionist control of the United States been foisted onto the American people?” Ch VIII

[What was going on with this Zionist control when we entered into the Iran Nuclear Agreement or when we decided not to block last year's UN Security Council resolution that harshly condemns the Israeli settlements? Just the other day, Sept. 17, *Haaretz* commented: "Obama handed Netanyahu a stinging defeat, perhaps the worst of his career, when he moved the Iran nuclear deal through Congress ..." But according to Bollyn, Obama is a "hard-core Zionist." Ch X. After the U.N. vote, Netanyahu's office said, "The Obama administration not only failed to protect Israel against this gang-up at the UN, it colluded with it behind the scenes." Bollyn's dedicated Zionist, Ben Rhodes, (see page 3 above), the deputy national security adviser, said the continued expansion of Israeli settlements gave the U.S. little choice.
<https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-12-23/u-s-abstains-from-un-vote-declaring-israeli-settlements-illegal> And why do these controlling Zionists want us to do things like wage GWOT in the Philippines, flirt with war in Korea, topple the Venezuelan government – this is in order to benefit Israel?]

"One of the main goals of the occupation of Afghanistan is to build a pipeline to bring the Israeli-owned gas of Turkmenistan to India and China." Ch VIII
[That's new on me. So the purpose of our ultimatum to the Taliban in June, 2001 about the Unocal pipeline was to transport Israeli-owned gas? Anybody else's hydrocarbons involved?]

The title of Chapter IX is "Who Really Controls Our Political Parties?"
[Guess what Bollyn's answer is.]

"Americans and Europeans are forced to bear the yoke of the ruthless Zionists who control their political systems and media." Ch IX

"Mossad controls Britain." Ch VIII

The title of Chapter X is "Who Runs the Obama White House?"
[[Guess what Bollyn's answer is.]

"Do you think having an Israeli gangster with terrorist roots [Rahm Emmanuel] running the White House affects U.S. relations with Iran, Russia, the Palestinians - and the rest of the world?" Ch X

"Rahm Emanuel is the same Israeli who ran the White House under Bill Clinton." Ch X

"Emanuel, the real boss of the Democratic party" Ch X

"Israeli Rahm Emanuel, Clinton's senior adviser, was pushing the disastrous NAFTA legislation through Congress." Ch X
[What does NAFTA have to do with Israel?]

"the ship of state has been taken over by an organized gang of Zionist pirates" Ch X

“George W. Bush has done more to advance the agenda of the secretive Jewish brotherhood of Freemasons, the real Elders of Zion, than any other political leader in living memory.” Ch XI

[Craig Unger’s book *House of Bush, House of Saud* (Scribner: 2004) tells a different story.]

“This radical Zionist plan became U.S. policy in the aftermath of 9/11 and has been the rationale for at least five ongoing wars in the region: Iraq, Afghanistan, Somalia, Pakistan, and Yemen.” Ch XII

[As far as I know, neither Somalia, Pakistan nor Yemen are within the Biblical borders of Israel? On the other hand, Yemen is on the current border of Saudi Arabia.]

Part 4: ANTI-SEMITISM

One of the last columns I wrote as a bi-weekly columnist for the *Frederick News-Post* was published on Dec. 8, 2012 and titled “The Holy Land: Part I.” It began: “American support of fanatical fundamentalists in Israel has much to do with the fact that humanity is moving fast in the wrong direction. Ordinarily, the U.S. government manages to bully much of the world into approving our foreign policies, no matter how outrageous. But even our closest allies couldn’t stomach the latest Israeli assault upon Gaza.” At the end of the column I noted: “[I am] Jewish. [My] immigrant grandparents fled anti-Semitic pogroms in Russia.” A copy of this column is set forth in **Appendix 3**.

Three days after the column was published, the *Frederick News-Post* published two letters-to-editor, one of them by the local Rabbi, both referring to me as an anti-Semitic Jew. I am very aware of how Zionists abuse the term “anti-Semitic” in order to discredit legitimate criticism of Israel.

I do not assume that Chris Bollyn is anti-Semitic. I do not care about that. I suspect that his “extreme conspiracy theory” attracts anti-Semites to purchase his writings. During the presentation I gave on Sept. 11, 2017, mentioned at the end of Part 1 above (at which Chris Bollyn also presented), a man stood up and began shouting that the Mossad assassinated JFK. All of my attempts to dialog with this individual were thwarted by his persistent, incoherent yelling. (I am quite aware that JFK adamantly opposed Israel acquiring the nuclear bomb, and that Rabin was present in Dallas on Nov. 22, 1963. Mossad may very well have played a role, as it undoubtedly did in 9/11. But the CIA was in charge on Nov. 22, 1963 – see *JFK and the Unspeakable* by James Douglass (Touchstone: 2008) – just as the CIA undoubtedly outranked the Mossad on 9/11.)

Given Bollyn’s extreme conspiracy theory, it is not surprising that he drifts into what could be viewed as anti-Semitism. Here are some examples:

“Israelis and Zionists play a very major role, if not the leading role, in the real world of organized crime.” Ch VI

[Typically, Bollyn provides no substantiation for this calculation, probably an original.]

“When was the last time you saw a movie in which the bad guys were Israelis speaking a **guttural** Slavic-based language called Yiddish or "Ivreet"? Ch VI
[First of all, “Ivreet” also known as Hebrew, and Yiddish are two quite different languages. “Guttural” is pejorative. Yiddish is a beautiful language, with a rich literature of poetry, lyrics and fiction (Isaac Bashevis Singer, Shalom Aleichem, etc.).]

“Aware of the increasing prevalence and dominance of Zionist Jews in the political, financial, and academic sectors, I came to the conclusion that I was living in Jewish times. As an American raised with traditional Christian values, I realized that the culture I had grown up in was under attack and being reduced to a sub-culture. Through the Jewish-controlled media, a distinctly foreign and anti-Christian culture of pornography, perversion, and violence was being pushed. The producers of this ‘new culture’ were primarily Jews of Eastern European origin. Through their control of the mass media, film, radio, and television networks, a diet of perverse entertainment and un-American values was being force-fed to the unsuspecting American population.” Ch VII

Part 5: CONCLUSION

The most important and urgent function of the Truth Movement is to derail the American War Machine. As Martin Luther King told us back in 1967, the U.S. is the “greatest purveyor of violence in the world.” MLK also said: “If modern man continues to flirt unhesitatingly with war, he will transform his earthly habitat into an inferno such as even the mind of Dante could not imagine.” We are well on our way.

The Truth Movement’s mission is a sacred one – nothing less than the salvation of humanity is at stake. As the martyr John Lennon put it in “We Can Work It Out”:
“There’s no time for fussing and fighting.”

Our mission must transcend all concerns of the ego. It does not matter who was right. Holding on to a point of view for the sake of vindication is fatal.

It was a profound failure of the Truth Movement in 2015--2016 when we could not unify in order to make the most of the 28 Pages breakthrough. Those who sought to undermine the effort under the influence of Chris Bollyn and others were wrong, plain and simple. We can learn from this and we must.

As long as the Truth Movement is shunned by our most natural ally, the (American) Peace Movement, we will remain quite marginalized. This past summer a significant inroad into the Peace Movement arose when Kevin Zeese, co-founder of the organization Popular Resistance, agreed to participate in the “Left Out Forum” on one of the three “Deep State” panels that had been rejected by the Left Forum.

I got to know Kevin back in 2006 when both of us were running to represent Maryland in Congress. I was running for the House and Kevin was running for the Senate on the

Green Party ticket. A part of Kevin's platform back then regarded the pernicious influence of Israel.

There was a follow-up email thread after this past summer's Left-Out Forum in which Kevin participated. But when the statements by Bollyn came up about the Jewish origin of the "new culture of pornography, perversion, and violence" (cited at the end of Part 4 above), Kevin stated on June 15: "No progressive movement would want to be associated with claims like those or people who make them."

[hm... I think he based that quote on what Sander said CB said.]

Finally on June 21, after some more unfortunate back and forth, Kevin delivered his sayonara: "What is the goal of defining entire groups in negative, stereotypical ways? Doing so will undermine most causes I work on so I don't see value in it and would avoid working with people who do so. If the goal is to shrink the movement -- this approach will work!"

By the way, Kevin's organization published my entire three-part series on Saudi complicity and how this inexorably established inside job. See <https://www.popularresistance.org/the-truth-about-the-28-pages-the-enduring-cover-up/>, <https://www.popularresistance.org/the-saudis-and-911-a-larger-truth-emerges/>, and <https://www.popularresistance.org/the-saudis-and-911-confirmation-of-the-larger-truth/>.

This leads into one more thing I need to express. I regret that when I was immersed in analyzing Saudi complicity and its implications, I was out of touch with Indira Singh's superb work. I dedicate this article not only to the invigoration of the Truth Movement but also to a resurgence of attention to Indira Singh.

APPENDIX 1

Email Aug 8, 2016

I have been resting the last few days – relaxing into my immediate life in beautiful Frederick, Maryland. I've been feeling that I did my part for awhile: I spoke up for the 28 pages, I demonstrated for their release, I even got to play my soprano sax, and I followed through by reading and synthesizing every element in the (redacted) 28 pages once they were released.

There are plenty of solid roads to 9-11 (Deep State) Truth. The 28 pages is one of them. What is unique about this road is that it has real Congressional pressure behind it and some favorable mainstream coverage.

I believe I show in my piece how the 28 pages directly takes us to inside job. I also demonstrate the cover-up at work as it continues post-release. The current players are

predictable: Zelikow (he writes scripts), Brennan (former CIA in charge in Saudi Arabia), Josh Earnest (oh he's earnest alright), and their mainstream mouthpieces.

Cheryl quotes my piece on the 28 pages as follows: "As 28 pages champion Senator Bob Graham puts it: 'Al-Qaeda was a creature of Saudi Arabia; ... and now, ISIS is the latest creature! ... ISIS is a product of Saudi ideals, Saudi money, and Saudi organizational support.'" Then Cheryl says: "As I'm sure most of you know, this is just not the case, it's well known that the US created Al Qaeda and other countries like Pakistan and Saudi Arabia helped us with it ... so it's quite obvious that Graham is making false statements here. I'm sure Barry knows this, so why would he include this quote in his recent article without challenging it, but rather endorsing it?"

Graham's statement is radical and courageous. It challenges the entire underpinning of the Global War on Terror, which Graham has repeatedly made clear is his purpose. And there is plenty of foundation for his statement. Without Saudi "ideals" (Wahhabism branch of Sunni Islam), Saudi money (plenty of it, more than any other country's money), Saudi organizational support (training, equipping, arming, providing recruits, more than any other country), there would be neither al Qaeda nor ISIS.

Is the U.S. calling the shots? Yes and no. The Saudis have their own interest in perpetrating the GWOT. Yemen is a current example of Saudis in the lead. But yes, the Saudis for decades have operated on behalf of U.S. "foreign policy." The Saudi-American alliance is very active and has involved in many instances the Saudis sustaining the "terrorists." This was the case in Iran-Contra (H.W.'s machination -- Israel also was involved) and this was the case in 9-11 (W.'s turn) and this is now the case in Syria.

Is Saudi Arabia the only country involved in this? Of course not. Cheryl and others are all upset because Israel is going unmentioned, and in their view, it is the Zionists and Rothschild who are in charge. Dancing Israelis, masquerading art students, airport security connections, the Silverstein-Netanyahu connection, Jews (Zionists) in high places, a history of perpetrating terror – all of that amounts to participation, it says nothing about who is in charge.

Bush, Cheney, Tenet, Rumsfeld, Rice, Powell, Obama, Carter, Kerry, Brennan, Morgan, Rockefeller – they sustain our alliance with Israel and domestic Jews – but none of them has allegiance to Israel beyond that. (I concede that Hillary is terrifying for among other reasons her embracement of Likud.) The shadow government/deep state behind these figures is American – it is not controlled by Israel. Zakheim, Wolfowitz, Perls, Feith, Abrams, Chertoff and their successors exert influence – but they do not have the final say.

Anyway, exposing Saudi Arabia not only exposes our insiders, it exposes Israel as well. Lately there has been a furor in the Muslim world over how the Saudi-Israeli alliance has been coming out in the open. Listen to Kevin Barrett on Press TV describe their close military-intelligence connections. <http://newsvideo.su/video/4809154> (Kevin says the Saudis are dropping Israeli-made mini-nukes in Yemen.) *Haaretz* reported that Bandar has been conducting meetings with Israeli political, military and intelligence officials "at least since 1990." The *Times of Israel* reported that Bandar was meeting with the head of Mossad during the nuclear negotiations with Iran in Geneva.

So – we have this alliance involving our neocons/deep state with Israel and Saudi Arabia.

This alliance clearly was at work in 9-11. Nationalities tend to blur in contemplation of a massive criminal conspiracy. Our urgent target is the criminals regardless.

The persistent suggestion that the neocon deep state is now resorting to putting the ‘blame’ for 9-11 on the Saudis is fantasy. The neocon opposition to exposing Saudi involvement has always been *and continues to be* very evident – and for obvious reasons. The purpose of Bush administration, Zelikow, Brennan, Obama to cover up Saudi involvement is not just to protect a longstanding and current close ally – it is to avoid automatic exposure of the inside component of the alliance. There is no other way to account for protecting and continuing to cooperate with a perpetrator of 9-11. It is the Truth Movement’s responsibility (and arguably last opportunity) to establish inside job. It is up to our movement to parlay Saudi involvement into exposure of inside job, in alliance finally with members of Congress and 9-11 victims’ families who by now know full well about inside job but must tread much more carefully than must we.

Email June 3, 2016

This is a draft of my op-ed soon to be published in the *Frederick News-Post*:
PUSH-BACK AGAINST THE WAR MACHINE

...

“This so-called complex is now a power unto itself, interlocked with mainstream media and entertainment such that Americans abide the accelerating tornado of war, the largely American-generated tornado of war. Our largest export is weapons. War is the business we are in, and this farce of a Presidential election is about to deliver more of the same, as if there are no limits.

“The only reason I have not retreated into prayer is that finally we have some push-back. It arises in the context of the so-called suppressed 28 pages of a 2002 Congressional report about who was really responsible for 9-11. The number of sponsors in the House of Representatives to declassify the 28 pages has soared since *60 Minutes* on April 10 did a segment that featured one consistent viewpoint, namely that the White House (in fact, Bush followed by Obama) has been covering up the Saudi financing of 9-11 at the same time as it continues to maintain our close military-intelligence-financial relationship with Saudi Arabia.

“On May 17, the U.S. Senate voted to give the families of 9-11 victims the right to sue Saudi Arabia in the ‘Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act.’ In advance, the Saudis threatened to sell off \$750 Billion in U.S. Treasury bills. Two days after the bill passed the Senate, a Saudi government-linked legal scholar published in a London journal as follows: ‘[W]hat happened in the [World Trade Center] Towers was a purely American action, planned and carried out within the U.S. Proof of this is the sequence of continuous explosions that dramatically ripped through both buildings ... Expert structural engineers demolished them with explosives ... But the U.S. still spreads blame in all directions.’

“There is a large segment of the American public that has learned that 9-11 was an inside job, including many of the now 66 sponsors of the legislation to declassify the 28 pages, 45 Democrats and 21 Republicans.

“The neocon Global War on Terror is a fraud. As the chief advocate for uncovering the 28 pages, former Senator Bob Graham, has declared: Saudi Arabia is ‘essentially a co-

conspirator in 9/11 ...’ And: ‘Al-Qaeda was a creature of Saudi Arabia; ... and now, ISIS is the latest creature! ... ISIS is a product of Saudi ideals, Saudi money, and Saudi organizational support.’ All of this is with very evident direction and support and cover-up from within our own secret government (elements of CIA, military, etc.).

“Another party to the fraud is the Netanyahu administration in Israel that has admitted to assisting ISIS and al Nusra (al Qaeda in Syria) and is now overtly allied with Saudi Arabia.

“Steve Pieczenik served the presidential administrations of Gerald Ford, Jimmy Carter, Ronald Reagan and George H.W. Bush in the capacity of deputy assistant secretary of state, was up until recently a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, and maintains close connections to very high levels in our military and our intelligence agencies.

Pieczenik does not hesitate to refer to 9-11 as an **inside job (with assistance from Saudi Arabia and Israel)**. He reports that the ‘push-back’ I am writing about is supported by loyal elements in our military and intelligence agencies (at long last). It will take all of us to derail the American war machine, with time running out.

“Support H.R. 14 in the House and S. 1471 in the Senate to declassify the 28 pages and insist that the associated larger truths derail our rabid war machine.”

Email May 27, 2016

The continued braying on the part of the skeptics at every chance to discredit Graham and the 28 pages movement is infantile, solely grounded in having one's point of view vindicated.

There is no real disagreement. Powers that be will exert their power to control the spin from here on out. Of course all of this could end up a limited hangout. Of course powers that be will attempt to use this to further some goal. Of course the Truth Movement is serving its supreme purpose in fighting for a righteous understanding of 9-11, the GWOT, etc. coming out of the struggle around the 28 pages.

I had hoped that some of the skeptics would have attended the 28 pages panel by Les Jamieson, Mike Springmann and myself. None did. However, Kevin Barrett was present. I call on Kevin to help resolve this constant bickering over nothing so we can all together exploit this critical opportunity to expose the deep state.

Email May 18, 2016

There are now forces within the power structure that are behind exposing the 28 pages as a means of confronting the neocons. Naturally the Truth Movement has an important role to play if this is to ensue.

Check out this recent Alex Jones interview of Steve Pieczenik at

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pZFf6pVJKeA>

I have reservations about both Alex and Pieczenik. But what rings true is that a real struggle is in process.

For those who don't know, Pieczenik served the presidential administrations of Gerald Ford, Jimmy Carter, Ronald Reagan and George H.W. Bush in the capacity of deputy assistant secretary. He is a current member of the Council on Foreign Relations. **YET HE HAS NO DOUBT THAT 9-11 WAS AN INSIDE JOB. He also has no doubt that**

Saudi Arabia and Israel (and Pakistan and Turkey and Qatar and UAE ... all) contributed to the 9-11 operation.

Email May 18, 2016

Look -- a lot of us are going to be in NYC this weekend for the Left Forum.

The Truth Movement will be represented by a small minority -- us.

We must unify (body and soul) around what we can.

We all feel it to be urgent that people learn that our power structure is infiltrated with a deep state dedicated to wars for profit and power. Our deep state resorts to false flag attacks including 9-11 and the anthrax attacks. This is a criminal organization that must be rooted out of our system urgently.

Successfully imparting these basic facts is our challenge this weekend.

My participation on two related Left Forum panels will be focused on what is happening around the 28 pages (and JASTA). I view what is happening as certainly vulnerable to deep state/ mainstream manipulation and spin. But I cannot imagine dynamics like those around 28 pages/ JASTA recurring. We now have a real Congressional/ mainstream/ popular presence that conceivably could result in confronting our deep state.

We should not argue anymore. Everyone should do what they can.

I will restate a conviction of mine that does make my view different from some. I do believe Graham and Jones and others in Congress now know inside job. They may not have started out that way, but they know now. The suggestion that Graham, for example, is part of some neocon plot to defuse 9-11 truth makes no sense, cannot possibly account for all of his efforts. He has stated that the 28 pages implies inside job. On NPR recently he made clear his position that 9-11 should be re-investigated entirely.

peace

Email Apr 23, 2016

I appreciate Kevin Barrett. But Kevin sometimes sounds like Chris Bollyn sometimes sounds. In this particular Kevin Barrett video, Kevin refers to the neocons as Israeli "assets" and that the Saudis are mere patsies. This is neither accurate NOR practical. But do let's carry on.

Email Apr 23, 2016

I am not interested in all the pointless debate about the 28 pages as if it were so grievous that we are at this stage focused on Saudi Arabia instead of Israel. They both service the deadly American empire. And yes, there are Zionists among our warmongers, as there are Saudi lovers (like the Bushes and John Brennan).

Email Apr 19, 2016

<http://presstv.ir/Detail/2016/04/19/461509/911-Barrett-Saudi-Israel-Obama-Bush/>

Press TV has interviewed Kevin Barrett, a political analyst and a founding member of the Scientific Panel for the Investigation of 9/11, in Wisconsin, to discuss recent

developments regarding Saudi Arabia's role in the September 11, 2001 attacks in New York that killed about 3,000 people.

The following is a rough transcription of the interview.

Press TV: You yourself have been part of the movement to get the truth about 9/11 out and those responsible held accountable. What do you make of these current events?

Barrett: Well, this is a very exciting moment because many of us in the 9/11 truth movement have been pushing to try to get mainstream media attention to get the politicians interested in this issue for more than a decade and now suddenly we have a media feeding frenzy since the premier mainstream TV investigative journalism show *60 Minutes* covered the 28 pages scandal last week.

There is mainstream media feeding frenzy and there is a huge push to declassify these 28 pages and what is really important about this is that not only it is going to reveal that Saudi Arabia had a role in the 9/11 attacks, but perhaps more importantly that the Saudis who had the role were close friends of the Bush administration working with American agencies and that the Saudis were not the only foreign government involved and the Israelis played a much bigger role than the Saudis did.

I certainly hope that all of that will come out once we use the 28 pages issue to pry open the larger 9/11 can of worms.

Press TV: Well, I would like to pick on that point a bit further as far as the Saudi role when it comes to the 9/11 attacks; how imperative is it that that gets disclosed and discussed?

Barrett: Well, **it is critically important** because the thing is many of these other issues lack documentary proof in the form of official US government documents.

We know that they blew up the World Trade Center and it was a controlled demolition. It was certainly not a collapse based on fire but we do not have a US government document that says that. We do, however, have these 28 pages that detail the fact that there was a very close Saudi relationship to these alleged 9/11 hijackers and that that was then classified and covered up by the Bush administration and by the 9/11 commission which of course was a cover-up commission.

So we have documentary proof of a cover-up and that is critically important in getting legal action, getting laws passed, getting investigations and getting action in court. And once serious investigations of 9/11 begin and there are courtroom proceedings with discovery, witnesses who can be brought in and grilled under oath the whole thing could very well just explode and it would be the biggest scandal ever to hit the United States.

Press TV: Why do you think President Barack Obama is so insistent upon not letting this through?

Barrett: Well, I think when he came into office he kind of had a deal with the elements of the previous administration which was that he was not going to hang them for their war crimes. You know, that has been essentially the understanding I think and so he is still covering up for the crimes of the Bush administration and I'm sure he knows and everybody else who is paying attention knows that if this 28 pages issue really is picked up and is declassified and the public sees that in fact these alleged 19 hijackers were backed not only by foreign governments but by parts of the US government.

This is going to lead to questions about why President Bush was smoking cigars with Bandar Bush in the White House right after 9/11 celebrating.

So it is just a gigantic powder keg of political dynamite waiting to explode and I can't wait till it explodes because the United States is in terrible shape right now and we need the kind of shock treatment that the 9/11 truth would bring us.

Email Apr 10, 2016

First: Our objective is to reveal inside job. This is the key in two words. It is key because it reveals the nature of the American power structure. Our hope is that once Americans learn that their own government attacked on 9-11, they will support a cleaning out of the war machine a/k/a the shadow government a/k/a the deep state.

Does the 28 pages issue, given the coverage we just observed on *60 Minutes*, move our country in the direction of learning inside job? Absolutely. This is *60 Minutes* saying that we as a people have to understand 9-11 better than we now do. There is nothing else in sight besides the 28 pages that instigates such attention to questioning of 9-11.

Yes, the 28 pages contemplates the actual involvement of the so-called hijackers. Yes, truthers are correct -- the 9-11 planes could not possibly have been piloted by the hijackers. BUT NOBODY IS TALKING ABOUT THAT -- yet. When Bob Graham explicitly states that the Saudi *government* participated in supporting the hijackers, he is not saying anything about what the actual role of the hijackers was. The hijackers (misidentifications aside) clearly had a critical role in 9-11. Their role was to act the part of patsies, an essential role in any inside job. The significant fact remains: the Saudi government participated in the carrying out of 9-11.

Establishing Saudi government complicity IS NOT A DEAD END. It is an opportunity. There is already a focus on the cover-up of Saudi complicity by Bush followed by Obama. What is clear in the *60 Minutes* segment is that no one on the 2002 Joint Committee nor anyone on the 9-11 Commission give any credence to the executive department's justification for suppressing the 28 pages. Truthers are in the position to provide the evident answer to why the cover-up. Establishing the evident nature of the cover-up itself achieves the ultimate goal of revealing inside job.

The other extremely available avenue through the 28 pages is establishing that the Saudi government and our (shadow) government have been cooperating on many levels (especially in special operations) for decades. Please read front page NY Times "U.S. Relies Heavily on Saudi Money to Support Syrian Rebels: Secrets of the Kingdom, Decades of Discreet Cooperation."

http://www.nytimes.com/2016/01/24/world/middleeast/us-relies-heavily-on-saudi-money-to-support-syrian-rebels.html?_r=1

There are plenty of goodies in there, including specifically identifying Prince Bandar as financing the terrorist Contras for our "shadow government" back then. This is the same Bandar ("Bandar Bush") revealed in the 28 pages to be financing 9-11.

All of this works above and beyond the issue of the piloting of the 9-11 planes.

The objective of JFK Assassination activists would be fulfilled as long as Americans came to understand (and act upon) the fact that the CIA and generals/ admirals in the military and some very rich capitalists all were involved in the assassination and that the executive covered it up and continues to do so. Once that is established, it matters little whether Lee Harvey Oswald was a patsy or a genuine operative.

Could this 28 pages issue be manipulated into serving the purposes of the existing power

structure? Absolutely. There is no issue that will not be subjected to manipulation. The mechanisms of manipulation dominate what most Americans rely upon for information. But the 28 pages is the last avenue 9-11 truth will ever have for impacting a national conversation.

Yes, there are truthers working on injecting the truth into the anti-war movement. The 28 pages provided a way for truthers to ally with peace activists during Code Pink's Saudi Summit. This is rather unique. It is also rather essential. **Truthers must find ways to ally with the anti-war movement.** We committed peace activists (including truthers and anti-war activists) cannot afford schisms.

The Truth Movement cannot afford schisms. I call upon those uninspired by the 28 pages to pursue our shared objective in other ways, and also to respect the sincerity and prerogative of 28 pages activists. To my fellow 28 pages activists, please let us leave this debate behind.

Email Oct 1, 2015

But here is some quick reactions:

First -- Why do you and others keep harping on the likely fact that the 'alleged hijackers' were not on the planes?? This is all utterly besides the point. The role of the 'alleged hijackers' was the role of patsies -- just like Lee Harvey Oswald was a patsy. Patsies are a necessary (very important) ingredient in plots like 9-11. The hardest part of such a plot is disguising responsibility. The role of the patsy is to disguise responsibility. In the context of the 28 pages, what matters is the role of Saudi Arabia in supporting a necessary ingredient of 9-11. The precise role of that necessary ingredient is irrelevant.

Ryan's piece is very helpful. Most of it further demonstrates how Saudi Arabian involvement in 9-11 readily translates into involvement of our deep state. Ryan screws up only at the end of his piece where he states: "Now that the U.S. and Saudi Arabia [have 'reset'](#) their rocky relationship, calls by U.S. leaders to release the '28 pages' may very well die down." This very unhelpful statement is based on the assumption that Graham, Jones and some others have been fulminating with respect to the 28 pages on behalf of some element of the deep state. That's just plain ignorant.

Graham, Jones and others have been proceeding at risk to themselves, and they know it. (Graham alludes to this in his novel about Saudi involvements where he imagines a Senator from Fla. being assassinated. There are many other examples of this awareness.)

Both Graham and Jones know that their most natural constituency for release of the 28 pages are 9-11 Truthers. For example, Jones's aide focused on the 28 pages is very respectful of Les Jameison -- you don't need to repeat this -- Jones understandably would not be comfortable with that -- but it is true -- I have spoken to this aide myself on two separate occasions. (I can't get that kind of attention from my own Congressman.)

Example of Graham's awareness: In March, Graham did an interview with Jon Gold. See <http://911blogger.com/news/2015-03-21/we-were-lied-about-911-episode-23-senator-bob-graham> At the end of this interview, Graham said: "You have a reputation for being a very serious scholar and student of 9/11 events, particularly on this issue of gov't cover-up. The questions you have asked today indicate that that reputation is well-deserved. I appreciate the chance to have participated in this discussion with you."

We are out of ammunition and options. No purely 'grass roots' effort without

representation in the power structure has a prayer of accomplishing what needs to be accomplished.

I am sorry -- I do not have time to be re-arguing these points in this internecine struggle of ours. The internecine struggle must stop.

Email Sept 2, 2015

I am sorry that I will not be in New York for the activities of Sept 11-12. I will be in California on those days. On Sept. 9, I will be making a presentation at the National Press Club on the subject of the 28 pages. I am attaching to this e-mail the announcement of that presentation. Comments upon this announcement are most welcome.

Below is a copy of an (edited) email I just sent in reply to someone about my presentation:

The ultimate goal of the "truth" movement is to establish "inside job"/false flag attack so as to challenge and transform the current, extremely deadly, power structure in the U.S. (To that end, it does not matter whether or not nukes were involved in the WTC demolitions, or whether there were hijackers on the planes. Inside job is potentially manifest regardless, on the basis of plenty of incontrovertible facts.)

The 28 pages in of itself will not establish "inside job." So yes, the 28 pages may be viewed as "limited." But it should be obvious to all that the Saudis could not have been the "prime perps." **How the 28 pages takes us to the truth is up to us.** This somewhat depends on precisely what is in the 28 pages. There has to be more than only that Saudis helped finance 9/11 -- there is plenty of evidence of that in the unclassified parts of the 800 page report by the Intelligence Committees. See, for example, Chapter 4 of *The Big Bamboozle* (2012) by Philip Marshall (who was killed in a black ops hit in Feb., 2013. See <http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/02/20/100-certain-911-author-was-killed-in-black-ops-hit/>).

The 28 pages campaign is critical first because it amounts to the only serious questioning of the official account of 9/11 by members of Congress. This will not arise again. It would be ideal if forces for change did not have to rely on forces within the system, our terribly compromised system. But we do not have anything close to the makings of, for example, the Cuban revolution. Without movement within the system, we simply cannot hope to alter the power structure.

The sponsors of HR 14 and S 1471 must have and deserve our support. I was with the key sponsors on June 2 when Rand Paul announced his introduction of S 1471. They will not lead us to inside job -- they are already in danger -- but with careful, intelligent support, they could follow us to inside job. The Bandar -- Bush family connection itself is a gold mine (focused on by Philip Marshall in *The Big Bamboozle*.)

Further, the 28 pages campaign demonstrates that the attacks that took place now 14 years ago must be re-examined (for the sake of our security and our military/foreign policy). Without this campaign, 9/11 is passé (out of date). (This is what a local peace activist wrote to me upon receiving notice of my presentation at the Press Club: "9/11 truth has already made this issue as controversial for the history books as the Lincoln and Kennedy assassinations. You should be proud of that. Our history books need uncertainty. At this point I cannot commit to be there.")

As I state in the announcement of my presentation, the prime mover Bob Graham refers

to the official account of 9/11 as a cover-up. The fact and nature of cover-up often is more revealing than what is being covered up. In this case, we have both the Bush and Obama administrations involved in the cover up. This takes us toward understanding that the executive dept., regardless of political party, operates on behalf of the “deep state.”

APPENDIX 2

Bonnie Faulkner: Now, where were you on that very morning of Sept. 11th? Were you at home? Were you at work?

Indira Singh: That morning I was at home, I was late. I was supposed to have attended a risk conference that was being held on the 106th floor of the WTC, at the North Tower, and for some reason, I woke up late and didn't make it there. So when the first plane hit I was actually on my way out in a business suit and I turned back, changed into my EMT clothes, I was a civilian Emergency Medical Technician in New York State, and the 2nd plane hit and I basically went down to the site from that point on ... [This risk conference I was supposed to have attended] basically invited people from all over the world, all over the country. There were close to a hundred delegates already assembled when the first plane hit, nobody made it off the 106th floor ...

B: Indira, what's an Emergency Medical Technician ...?

S: ... in NYC ... there are not very many volunteer Ambulance Corps, but I did belong to one in Brooklyn, I rode a bus, (an ambulance), pretty much every Friday night... so the rules are in a multi-casualty accident, one the size of the WTC attack, it's 'all hands on deck' ... What happened with that particular triage site is that pretty soon after noon, after midday on 9/11, we had to evacuate that because they told us that Building 7 was coming down ...

B: Now, did you work as an EMT for more than one day? How long did this go on for you?

S: Well, I worked pretty much non-stop, at the One Liberty triage center, it went on [from 9/11, a Tuesday] until Saturday or Sunday, until basically I was so sick that I was experiencing cardiac symptoms and a friend came to get me and take me out of there ... That's when the reality of how 9/11 was gonna be spun to the rest of the nation ... And the EPA refused to declare the site unsafe, the air quality unsafe ... my local Firehouse had lost 14 men, Engine 4, Ladder 15, so one of the things that I did [was] I organized a benefit ... I set up neighborhood post-traumatic stress counseling ... [I tried] to get the politicians to do something with this EPA that had the nerve to look at a toxic waste dump burning out of control for 3 months and say that there was absolutely nothing wrong with the air ... on the news the whole focus was the Afghanistan War and 'Where's Osama bin Laden?', and the anthrax attacks ...

B: ... What did happen to you, just on a physical level?

S: ... we had sores -- ... our hair fell out, eye infections, shortness of breath, Adult-onset Asthma, chronic coughs, tiredness, extreme fatigue, cardiac symptoms, heart palpitations where you never had any before, irritability, a lot of symptoms that were consistent with neurotoxic poisoning ... a California group went in and analyzed and pretty much came up with the determination that there were about 900 contaminants, 200 different kinds of dioxins, we had the particulate matter the asbestos, the concrete, they had said that particles were ground so fine that they were the smallest particles ever produced in

history. And they blew past all our barriers and got lodged right in our lungs and most of us who were exposed to that are suffering from ... it seemed that we experienced the same thing that the Gulf War of other civilians who were exposed to local superfund site disasters, were all told it was in our head ... Dr. Levin ... said, 'You're sick, you can come down here. We only have room for 200 people but I'll make sure you can get in.' ... I said, 'Well that's fine, what do I go back and tell my neighbors? Because I was a rescue worker I'm eligible for your... program and they're not?' ...

B: And what about your apartment [in Manhattan]?

S: ... Because the EPA decided that nothing was wrong with the air, they wouldn't provide cleaning, so we had to do it ourselves. And I know a lot of the corporations hired cleaners, undocumented workers, many of whom got horrifically sick, and died ...

S: ... [I]t was very hard for me, even having gone through the administrative betrayal of the EPA, and the government denying us—that they had deliberately allowed this to happen. See, that's as far I knew, that they deliberately let this happen. It wasn't until much later, I knew so much more, that not only did they deliberately—that they actually were involved with it.

APPENDIX 3

THE HOLY LAND: PART I

Originally published December 08, 2012

By Barry Kissin

In Martin Luther King's words, "We still have a choice today: nonviolent coexistence or violent co-annihilation If modern man continues to flirt unhesitatingly with war, he will transform his earthly habitat into an inferno"

American support of fanatical fundamentalists in Israel has much to do with the fact that humanity is moving fast in the wrong direction.

Ordinarily, the U.S. government manages to bully much of the world into approving our foreign policies, no matter how outrageous. But even our closest allies couldn't stomach the latest Israeli assault upon Gaza. This was reflected Nov. 29 in the huge majority of votes in the United Nations in favor of accepting Palestine as a nonmember observer state.

Gaza is like a concentration camp, full of refugees who descend from Palestinians expelled from their homes by Zionist military forces. Since 2005, Gaza has been subject to a crippling blockade imposed by Israel. The Israeli newspaper *Haaretz* reported on a meeting of top Israeli government officials in which the following statement was made: "It's like an appointment with a dietician. The Palestinians will get a lot thinner but won't die." According to the *Haaretz* report, the assembled officials "rolled with laughter" at this supposed "joke."

Just before Israel's last national election in 2009, Israel invaded Gaza. More than 1,400 Palestinians died, compared to 13 Israelis. In the fearful fog of that war's aftermath, right-wing Benjamin Netanyahu was elected prime minister.

The latest assault upon Gaza has taken place just before Israel's next national election -- in which Netanyahu seeks to be returned to office. The timing is not coincidental.

American media uniformly report as fact that the Palestinians started the most recent violence. For example, on Nov. 16, the *Frederick News-Post* published an Associated Press report containing the following: "Israel and Hamas have largely observed an informal truce for the past four years. But in recent weeks, the calm unraveled in a bout of rocket attacks on Israel from Gaza and retaliatory Israeli airstrikes."

What goes unreported by American media is the fact that in the week before the rocket attacks on Israel, the Israeli military crossed into Gaza, resulting in the deaths of several Palestinians, including a 12-year-old boy playing soccer.

On Nov. 12, an apparent truce went into effect. Then, as reported by Uri Avnery, a former member of Israel's parliament: "In Gaza, everybody relaxed. They left their shelters. [On Nov. 14], their supreme military commander, Ahmad Ja'abari, climbed into his car and drove along the main street. And then the trap closed. The car bearing the commander was blown up by a missile from the air. Such an assassination is ... the culmination of many months of preparation."

It is very important to note that Ja'abari was known as a moderate, a negotiator, who at the time of his murder was working on establishing a permanent cease fire.

What followed was a bombardment of Gaza launched from land, sea and air, entirely disproportionate to the primitive Palestinian rocket attacks. Israel fights with an array of modern weaponry profitably manufactured in the U.S., and largely paid for by the American taxpayer.

Barry Kissin is Jewish. His immigrant grandparents fled anti-Semitic pogroms in Russia.

Finis

Barry Kissin

barrykissin@hotmail.com

Sept. 22, 2017