Yeah, Barry Kissin. That’s my name.
I think that’s great. Uh, but, I have to say, though...I’m happy to move back and get in the picture.
I’m not really speaking to the folks that are watching this livestream. And I’m not speaking to the folks who might watch this at some future date.
Uh, I hope there are such folks. But there’s a whole lot out there to watch.
And the truth is—EVERYWHERE.
You want me to move back. I’ll move back.
So, my...what I bring to this... I want to focus on the people in the room. I’m talking to you. I’m not talking to anybody else. Um, you’re...you’re a unique group of people.
When Richard Gage asks, Who knows Building 7, there’s no one in this room that doesn’t know Building 7. No one. Not one.
And there’s no one in this room that doesn’t know what Mr. Ketcham implied. Doesn’t know what Mr. Ketcham implied.
The NIST committed fraud [applause]. Well, of course. I mean, of course.
Alright, well, thanks for clapping, George.
Look. Look. Building 7. I think it’s great that the Architects and Engineers have proven—what, forgive me—I think is completely obvious by just looking at a video.
I mean, I love what Gage does. And he’s organized a lot of people. And he’s brought people to this room. And, uh, and he’s brought science to bear. And he’s gotten some attention in Congress. And that’s all fantastic.
But I’m talking to the people in the room.
Alright, look.
So let’s spell it out. Controlled demolition means inside job. That’s what it means.
Now you can do more investigations, and I hope they happen, although I’m not terribly hopeful. I think, we gotta look at—not wonder about any longer, please—we gotta look at—what the truth is. OK?
We don’t need—respectfully—we don’t need another investigation. WE don’t, certainly.
We don’t need NIST to go back and say, OK, you made a good point, Mr. Ketcham, which he certainly did, you know. Give it up, folks. What do you got?
That’s fine, that’s great. We already know what they have. NOTHING.
I mean, doesn’t this dispose of this? When they say, We don’t have any evidence of explosions. I mean, end of story. That’s a bold-faced lie. It goes precisely to the heart of the matter. End of story. It’s bogus. It took them a long time to come up with bogus.
Look...I have grandkids. This is not a good situation. It isn’t.
And a lot of the time I feel despair. I don’t...I’m not sure what a session like this can do. I’m not sure. I’m not sure. But where I think we have to start, in a group like this, is what we know. 9/11 was done by our own power structure. [applause] Of course it was. Of
course it was. We know that, backwards, forwards, around and over. One of the reasons we keep proving it to ourselves, is because we’re not sure what to do about it. And I’m not, either. But...I’ve got grandchildren. So let me lay it this way. Whatever it is we gotta do about this, we gotta do it. We’re on borrowed time. We are on borrowed time.

You know, I, um, in my community we celebrate Martin Luther King’s birthday every year. For awhile, I actually, I actually moderated, until the church got fed up. But you know, this is what Martin Luther King said. He said: If we continue like this—he happened to be talking about Vietnam—you can’t imagine the hell we’re looking at. He said, We are on borrowed time. He said, There is the fierce urgency of now. That was in 1967. It’s amazing. It’s amazing.

You know, the accident hasn’t happened. The criminal out of control hasn’t caused. Much worse than has already happened.

Look, I mean…

Let me go to one of the areas of my expertise, and see where that takes us.

A lot of people in this room engaged in a very effective struggle, and it was only last year. And that struggle was to get the 28 pages exposed, and then to see to it that the congressional act called JASTA was passed, so that the 9/11 families could sue Saudi Arabia for its participation in 9/11.

First we got the 28 pages exposed. Now, um, I wrote three pieces after they were exposed. It was my obligation, I felt, once the 28 pages were exposed, to look very carefully at what they said, and then to prove, which I did, that the 28 pages and related information about Saudi participation proves inside job.

That was my function. That was my role. I had these three pieces, a series of three.

What I did was, I didn’t just circulate them among you. I got it into OpEdNews, and I got it into Popular Resistance—two organs of the peace movement.

We haven’t even reached into the peace movement. The peace movement is more allergic to our truth than a whole lot of other factions that you’d expect would be resistant. The right wing among them. The peace movement shuns us.

But in fact, in fact, the truth of inside job, the truth of the nature of our power structure, the truth of how it has behaved in the world, and continues to behave in the world, stares at us from every place we look at.

I’ll talk a little bit about the 28 pages. I could talk about anthrax too. Anthrax is another perfectly good example. We know where the anthrax came from. We analyzed, we analyzed the technology that went into the attack anthrax that was sent to the two senators. And we know that technology was being explored and implemented by the CIA and the Defense Intelligence Agency. We proved that. We have the budget documents that shows this is what they’re working on. And out of those programs, otherwise known as the anthrax weaponization programs, we get the attack anthrax. It’s proven. It’s documented. It made it to the New York Times. Yes, it made it to the New York Times. And where did it get us? Where did this particular proof get us? Where does the proof of Saudi involvement in 9/11, which cannot otherwise but prove inside job, where does it get us? Where does it get us? How do we make it count?

I’m asking these questions. I don’t know have answers so much. I’m sorry, I’m asking these questions. But I think this is a jumping off place. OK? This is...we...
Our focus, particularly in a group like this, can’t be to prove the truth. We KNOW the truth. And the truth has been around a long time. My, I mean, the truth has been exerting itself terribly for a long time. That’s why I say we’re on borrowed time.

I start out...I’m a Vietnam War protester. I...I...My life has totally changed from that point. I’ve been focused on it the whole time ever since. The Vietnamese war was a terrible, terrible atrocity, committed by the power structure that remains in power. Everybody knows what the military-intelligence…the military-industrial-intelligence complex is. Everybody knows. Everybody knows. Let’s spell it out. Let’s spell it out. I haven’t heard anybody else do it. It’s simple. It goes like this: Our country is controlled by a small number of people, the very worst among us, who, without any compunction, will kill, and maim, and destroy, and permanently damage, for the sake of a buck. We are there. We’ve been there for a good while.

So, I mean, I’ll talk...interrupt if you’d like. I’ll talk about the 28 pages for a minute. I mean, Look. You open up the 28 pages. It’s not complicated, folks. It’s staring there right there. The 28 pages are about Prince Bandar. That’s what they’re about. Bandar—they got him red-handed—is financing the terror—the, the hijackers. That’s basically...there’s a lot more in the 28 pages, but that stands out. Bandar is mentioned, I counted, he’s mentioned 17 times in the 28 pages. He’s central. As the Fairfax Police Department told the reporter for the New York Post—they’re doing their own—Fairfax, they got involved... All roads lead to the Saudi Embassy. Duh. All roads lead to the Saudi Embassy.

Now, when I say all roads, I am not whatsoever suggesting the Saudis did this by themselves. Of course not. It happened here. For our purposes. And they helped because they have purposes that are somewhat coincident with ours.

This character, Bandar, has been around for awhile. Let me run through it. Bandar starts with the mujaheddin in Afghanistan. He’s organizing that, financing that, dealing with all that. That’s where he starts. He surfaces in Iran-Contra. He has admitted on the record. He’s a bagman in Iran-Contra. He’s helping H.W. Bush, right, do that whole shadow government caper of supporting the contras—terrorist, I mean as terrorist as you can imagine—that’s the contras. And they’re doing it illegally, against the Boland Amendment. Everybody knows the Boland Amendment. Maybe everybody doesn’t know. I’ll just throw it in. The Boland Amendment was passed with one dissent. The whole Congress voted for it. We don’t have a Congress like that anymore.

So they go ahead and they do that caper, the shadow government caper. Bandar’s hand-in-glove with H.W. Bush, serving the role of financing the Iranians and the weapons going back and forth. The Israelis are involved too. It’s our operation. It’s our shadow government. It’s what we want to do in Nicaragua. Which is basically elevate the terrorists and knock out—it happens to be a socialist government. That’s not unusual.
If you want to sort of describe, in a manner of speaking, what the fight is. This is what the fight is. It’s between humans being controlled by criminals, out for their own power and profit. Or, humans cooperating in their own best interest. Uh, the Nicaraguan government we were so determined to oust, violently, and I mean very violently, was a socialist government. And all that meant was that it didn’t want to sell out to the finance capitalists. It wanted to do something in Nicaragua that suited the interests of the Nicaraguans. And they paid dearly. And they paid dearly for that all over the world, and they have been for…ever. Forever.

So. Bandar helps out H. W. Bush during Iran-Contra. And the next thing you know, it’s 9/11. And he’s doing something rather familiar. He’s already done it plenty of times. I’ve already cited two big examples. He’s financing terrorists to carry out an American atrocity.

You know, it does bear saying. You read…I read a piece in the New York Post. See, in 2005, Bandar’s been ambassador to the United States for Saudi Arabia for 22 years. In 2005, he finally has to get out. Why? Because the word is out. The word is out. The New York Post wrote it up. Everybody knows. He had to get out. Now, if he weren’t in cooperation with the higher-ups in this country, which he clearly is, that would certainly have been the end of Bandar’s career. But it wasn’t. Bandar immediately, almost immediately, became the head of the, what amounts to the National Security Agency of Saudi Arabia. He went on to become the head of the Saudi intelligence. Saudi intelligence. And guess what he…you know, finally…I think his career is somewhat over, at least overtly. You know, he’s not done until Seymour Hersh, borderline alternative, done some very important work…Seymour Hersh ferrets out he’s involved in the false flag chemical attacks in Syria that got us this close to bombing Damascus in August of 2013. And I am not the speaker who’s gonna get up here and say there’s a lot of questions about the chemical attacks by Assad. You’re not gonna hear me say it. There’s no time to say it. It’s a fraud. It’s a false flag fraud. One of the things people in this room need to do—it’s not a big deal—is bring to bear your understanding of what’s going on right now with your understanding of 9/11. Because it’s going on in various shapes and forms, and more atrociously as time passes. Yeah, they killed…our own, our own power structure killed 3,000 Americans on 9/11. We’re commemorating that today. Small potatoes. Small potatoes.

I ask people sometimes, because it mystifies the hell out of me. How can well-meaning peace people, well-meaning church people deal with what everybody knows, what no one even tries to sort of misrepresent. On the basis of 9/11, they decide we have to attack Iraq. It’s all based on a fraud. It’s all based on a fraud. We know that. We know that. I mean, there’s a study that shows…they calculated, they looked at Powell, and Cheney, and Rice, and Ashcroft, and Wolfowitz, they looked, and they said, let’s watch what they’re saying during the lead-up to the Iraq war. They’re not saying “We think…” They’re not saying, “Intelligence indicates…” It’s none of that. It’s none of that. It’s bold-faced, absolute, knowing lie, over and over and over again.
Let me get back to the question. We know this. We know this. The record is very clear. This is a fraud. They went into Iraq for reasons we perfectly well understood. They went into Iraq because Iraq’s got oil that’s easy to take out of the ground, and we wanted control over it. And we didn’t like the fact that Saddam wanted to move away from the dollar, which is a very sensitive area for us.

OK. We know that. I don’t care what calculation you look at. That’s caused the death of a million people. It’s destroyed a society, like Iraq, which had serious problems under Saddam Hussein, but had a health care system that we can’t dream of. This is a viable society, with a lot of people trying to live their lives and raise their children. We destroyed it. We destroyed it on the basis of a lie, and it’s a power structure that’s been around a long time, and 9/11 was small potatoes.

Now, what I think needs to be emphasized about 9/11, you know, isn’t the fact that they’re murderers. We know that. But it is an example, far from a unique one, of the obvious willingness to kill Americans in their process. Does that cause anybody to say anything or do anything or react? I don’t know. I think, I think the million Iraqis and the 5 million refugees of Iraq, and the destruction of Libyan society, and the terrible chaos we created in Syria, on the side of the terrorists, in broad daylight. You know, that, that ought to be—what’s the word—impelling.

You know, take your shot at me. Say alright, Barry, fine, so you’re stating the obvious. OK, what do you want us to do? Well, I don’t know what I want you to do. OK, let me deal with something, because I’m not sure how much time I have left, so I have to deal with it. I’ll come back to this theme, because it’s most important to me.

Look… I start out with Vietnam. It doesn’t let up. It doesn’t let up. Martin Luther King spelled it out before they killed him. We’re napalming babies! We’re permanently contaminating Iraq with depleted uranium. Permanently. And the American people are going along with it, for the sake of the Iraqis?

Let me, let me just move on to something, because I don’t know how much time I have left and it’s something I have to do, and I’ll do it quickly. Or, however much longer you want me to do it, but I have to deal with it.

I spoke to Chris Bollyn beforehand. We had a hell of a time with people that took Chris too seriously. Look. The 28 pages was the la—I’m just gonna say it—we can think about what we’re gonna do about it or tend to it. The 28 pages was the most, was the last opportunity to get the exposure we had. We’re not gonna get mainstream exposure on, on the truth of 9/11 again. Not like we had it. 60 Minutes did a spot in April and then again another, basically repeated the spot in June. OK, the ??progress people they had no choice, sort of, you know, make the 28 pages, get them out there. Now, what we needed at that point, and we still need, to the extent we’re gonna count at all, is we needed unity. We need it, very simple. There are a bunch of people in this room that went out into the streets and said it over and over again, and said it among ourselves.
We need, our job, in this situation, while people are looking at this, and...we need to be the unified force that takes this to its obvious, to its obvious implication. Obvious, no getting around it. We need as a group unified to use what’s coming out, against the wishes, I repeat, against the wishes of the power structure, to prove just what that power structure is.

I didn’t finish about Bandar. I didn’t quite mention, but it’s apropos at the moment. Bandar. I’d be curious whether everybody in the room knows this or not. Bandar is referred to universally as “Bandar Bush.” Who doesn’t know that? Who doesn’t know that? He’s in the thick of it. Of course he is.

You know, Michael Moore, Michael Moore did that...Fahrenheit, uh, 911. Yeah, Fahrenheit 911. By the way, the most popular documentary in history. Isn’t that something.

Well listen, folks, you can go, you can go, and on Youtube for free and you can watch it again. It’s on Youtube for free, a few versions of it. You know, I...I...I’ve had some problems with Michael. I still have some problems with Michael. You know, he seems to wanting to work through the Democratic Party, which I don’t understand it at all. At least, not...

But Michael, remarkably, if you’ve got nothing else to do, you want to tickle your fancy. Go ahead and watch 911...Fahrenheit 911. He laid it out.

Michael does a couple of things. Let me remind you of one of the things he does. First, he’s got details on...Bandar comes into the White House, I guess it was on the 13th, and he needs to get evacuated, all the Bin Ladens, and all the others, our, these Saudi Arabians, who, you know, whose participation in this is really hanging out.

Uh, here’s what, and he gets very involved, Bush goes along with, I think, I can’t remember, I’ve got it written down somewhere. I mean, he goes along with like, 25 flights, how many people know, there’s people here, I think they evacuate 150 people. Not one subpoena. No questioning.

So, so, he goes through all that, the details, and everything.

And then he puts you on the veranda, it happens to be the Truman veranda, in the White House. Puts you right there. You can Google it yourself.

We’re on the Truman veranda. It’s September 13. And Bandar’s sitting in a wicker chair with his legs crossed. And guess who he’s sitting with. And they’re all smiles.

Yeah. Look at it.

[From the audience: Talk about Arbusto energy if you can.]

Fred, forgive me. I don’t know if you’ve been listening to me or not, but that’s not the next thing I want to talk about. I would like to finish the description of what’s going on on the Truman balcony on September 13, two days after September 11.

[inaudible]

OK, I’ll incorporate that. Thank you.

[inaudible]

Fred, can I just finish this one thing, then I’ll move on to that. Thank you.

He’s sitting there. I think this is worth looking at and considering. September 13, 2001. He’s sitting there, legs crossed, smile on his face. They got Dick Cheney, Dick Cheney in another chair, wicker chair, on the Truman balcony. We got George W. Bush sitting in another wicker chair on the Truman balcony. And we’ve got—for some reason my
favorite—I don’t know whether this is misogyny or I don’t know what the hell it is, but Condoleezza Rice, oh my God. Talk about poison.
And they’re sitting there on September 13, 2001, and they are basking in a successful operation. And Moore knows it and puts it in your face.

Now, as far as—fine, I’ll get to you—how about this. This is the other thing he does. If you don’t mind me staying within the context of my presentation. Because I’m not gonna talk about Arbusto. But I will tell you this. This is the other place where Michael Moore takes you in Fahrenheit 911. He takes you to, I forgot the name of the hotel. George H. W. Bush, who by the way spent the night before 9/11 at the White House. I wonder what he was up to. But on the morning of September 12, he’s at one of these hotels, in a meeting with the Carlyle Group. And they’re watching the operation. There is a Bin Laden among them. The Saudi Arabians have a lot of money in Carlyle Group, and they made a lot of money from 9/11. And Moore knows it, and he takes you into the room.

Now, something I have to cover is this. This role that we had to play, that I’m not sure we’ll get an opportunity to play again in any shape or form. It’s already, count them 16 years later. A lot of S-H-blank-T is going down as we speak.
Let me take a pause. Uh, let me take a pause.
Somebody in this room, tell me where I am right now. Tell me, tell me where I’m at in this presentation. What did I just say? Tell me. Tell me. Anybody?
[Shit’s about to hit the fan]
Keep going.
[Bandar…inside job]
Oh my God, yeah. Oh my God, yeah. I mean, this is the potential for the 28 pages.
All you have to say, besides Bandar Bush. All you have to say is, OK. The country’s coming to realize without a doubt, the Saudis participated in 9/11.
So why the cover-up? Well, one way to figure out why the cover-up, is, as the 28 pages is coming out, guess where Obama is. You remember? He flies to Saudi Arabia in the middle of this. The King of Saudi Arabia snubs him at the airport. Big-time snub. And he’s still kissing his hand the next day. It’s all there. I mean, we got 28 pages. We got Saudi participation. We got a cover-up that Bush and Obama have strenuously, every which way they could, attempted to cover up. And they’re, they’re waging this so-called global war on terror with the Saudis, as we speak, while it’s going on.
Now, one of the reasons in my view it’s caused problems, maybe not that significant, but certainly to this movement, in this room, is uh, instead of unifying behind this opportunity. We get a whole faction, as I’ve already said, reads Chris Bollyn too seriously.
What Chris wrote, it’s very unfortunate, I’ve got the piece here. I’m sorry, Chris. But when you write that the whole 28 pages campaign, the whole case against Saudi Arabia, is merely a put-up by the powers that be in order to deflect attention from who is responsible for the whole bag of wax, namely Israel, you did a disservice. It’s a disservice that to this day performs unfortunately. Because if you look at 9/11 discussion and email, we’re still having this, I’m gonna call it ridiculous, debate. Israel does not control the United States.
AUDIENCE DISRUPTION

[Various voices: It does... It does... Please. Stop this.]
OK, that's fine, that's fine. That's fine. But, you know, I'm gonna stay...
[shouting: The media is owned by Israel! Everyone is owned by Israel! Stop this.]
[Applause: Saudi Arabia is run by Israel. You know that?] Hold it a second. Come on now, folks. We gotta deal with this. This is, this is... We gotta deal with this. Look, let's be clear, OK?
Please listen to me. Listen to me. Israel is a terrible actor in this world. Pound for pound, they're terrible. They are worse than criminals, much worse. What they've done in Palestine is a horror.
[US Liberty...USS Liberty. Who did that? ...1967... They killed John F. Kennedy. That's what they did.]
Folks, folks come on. Stop.
[That's the proof. You want the proof, this is the proof.]
It is the truth. It is the truth.
[Saudi Arabia is run by Israel.]
Let me tell you another truth: The CIA killed John F. Kennedy.
[No. It was the Mossad. It was an Israeli operation because of that.]
OK, OK...Alright, I'm speaking now. I'm speaking. I'm speaking. My point in referring to the CIA doing the Kennedy assassination is, all kinds of terrible stuff, all kinds of terrible stuff, committed by all kinds of actors...
[So how come they attacked the USS Liberty and (unintelligible) Kennedy?] OK, let me ask you this sir. Let me ask you this.
[I'm just asking you a simple question.]
OK. I've got this question for you, and I want you to answer me. [Yes.]
This suggestion by you, that Israel controls the United States... [Yes, they do!]
Please explain to me [Yes, they do!] please explain to me, the decision by Obama in 2013, over the extremely strenuous objections of Israel to abstain from bombing Syria. Explain to me that. Explain to me, sir, explain to me, the decision by Obama to abstain from the Security Council...
[You have to create a show. You know what I'm saying? Do you think you have two parties here? You have the Republicans and Democrats? How come they voted, all of them, for the Russia sanctions? You ask this. How come everyone in the Congress voted for the Russia sanctions? Because AIPAC wanted war with Syria, with Iran, with Russia.... That's why they hate Trump, because Trump stopped the war in Syria. And no one talks about that.]
Sir, sir, Israel, you're absolutely right, wanted war with Iran. It always has. That's right. [They still do. Only the generals and the White House...]
Now please... Now listen. I'm not shouting at you anymore. I want you to be quiet. Just listen to me. Just listen to me now.
[AUDIENCE: unintelligible. Trump...Syria....more shouting] [Q & A guys.]
[He's asking questions.] [Let him finish his talk.]
The proposition is that Israel controls the United States. So one little question you gotta answer, among others, is, how do you explain the Iran Nuclear Agreement?
[How do you explain that you have a Zionist in…]
No, no. Sorry, sorry, you’ve already been told…

KHALID: Excuse me everybody. We have one more speaker, Christopher Bollyn. And if we keep getting disruptions, we’re never gonna have a chance to hear from him. So, keep disrupting if you don’t want to hear from Christopher Bollyn. If not, let’s just wrap up here and finish our show. The good thing about us is that we can all agree on the simple idea that 9/11 was an excuse for war, I think we all agree. Let’s just, um, focus on our agreements and move on with the rest of the presentation tonight. Thank you.

KISSIN: Look. Look, folks, I don’t want anybody else calling out. And I’ll take my seat directly. This is a problem. OK? It caused a huge division last year, which very much subverted an extremely important effort on the part of the truth movement to use the very concrete evidence of Saudi complicity as without-a-doubt proof that they’re working with people inside our own power structure. OK? That’s how it operated, alright? And, and the question that—you can distract from as much as you want, it’s just a simple question—it’s just one example.

We chose, we entered into the Iran Nuclear Agreement despite Israel’s extremely vociferous, very consistent opposition to that. That was a decision by our power structure. OK? If Israel controlled the United States, we would’ve bombed Syria a long time ago. If Israel controlled the United States, there would’ve been no Iran Nuclear Agreement. If Israel controlled the United States, there would not have been a vote in December of 2016, condemning Israeli settlements. Read the resolution. Read the resolution. They’re referred to as flagrant violations. They say this, this, these terrible flagrant violations are precisely what’s making impossible the only solutions, the U.N. Security Council speaking, namely, two-state solution.

That’s what it says. You know. Chris, I’m afraid, not only, I can quote him, not only, Israel not only controls the United States. Israel controls Europe, according to Chris. But note, with respect to this vote, for example. We have both France and England voting for a resolution that in no uncertain terms, Israel is flagrantly violating international law and making impossible peace in Palestine. Read the resolution. It’s very strongly worded. It was not controlled by Israel. In fact, after the vote, after the United States decided to abstain from vetoing, basically failing to block it, Netanyahu said, he said it right away. He said, Obama is plotting against Israel, in cahoots with the gang in the U.N. And as we speak, and I’m almost done, as we speak, there’s a struggle now going on between Trump and Netanyahu. Trump’s hapless as can be. He’s capable of doing anything. It’s extremely dangerous.

But he, he’s not all that eager, he’s not all that eager, you know, to start bombing Syria. That’s what Netanyahu wants him to do. In fact, Netanyahu is rather desperate for him to do. Because Netanyahu’s plan—and it was his plan, just like it was our plan, just like it was Saudi plan, just like it was U.A.E. plan, just like it was Pakistan plan—was to dismember Syria. And it failed, very thankfully.
So we have that kind of struggle again. And, and, you know. So, so. Look. The problem is, you can’t wipe out the whole picture with this incessant “Israel controls everything.” Um, Israel, the line, the Bollyn line. It’s, it doesn’t hold up for a half-second. Not for a half-second. The Bollyn line is that, that Israel carried out 9/11. That Israel designed it, and carried it out.

That’s what he says, over and over again. This is, this is a…

[audience voices…it’s true]

Alright, OK, alright. So this is what I’m, this is, I’m gonna conclude, just because I do realize we still have this terrible debate, and it needs to be over, and the truth needs to be recognized. I’m sorry, Mr. Bollyn.

You know, let me see if I can see the quote because it will set it up. Bollyn takes the posi… [audience voices] Hold it a second. Let me say this, please, if you don’t mind. Bollyn says the key to the Israeli, to the Israeli control of 9/11 takes place through Ptech. That’s what he says it’s on. I can read it to you. He says that exactly. You can ask me during question and answer, I won’t look for it. He says it exactly. This is what permitted them to carry out 9/11. This is the lynchpin of his argument.

Now. Look. The reason I guess anybody, or everybody, or some of the people in this room think, that Israel all by itself, or some way or other, you know, behind the back of American military intelligence, carried out 9/11, is I suppose, because among other things you believe, what he says, which is Ptech is a Mossad front.

So here is what I’m gonna end on, because I think it is necessary to tangle with these huge misconceptions.

[audience voices… You know they caught Israelis on that day, the dancing Israelis ]

Oh, just, talk later, would you. I know about the dan… Excuse me. Excuse me. I know about the dancing Israelis. What it proves, what it proves is, Israel knew it was happening and participated. It doesn’t prove that they carried it out. Now let’s just look at Ptech and I’ll be done. And you can look it up yourself. Alright.

A lynchpin of his whole approach to 9/11 is that Ptech is a Mossad front. Read the book, “Solving 9/11” by Chris Bollyn. OK. Do you know what it says? This is what it says, Karl.

[KARL: Wait, wait, time out, time out., time out. You called me out by name. Let me ask you one question. The world is controlled by the central banks of the world. What one family controls most of the central banks of the world. VOICES: Rothschilds!]

Whatever. I mean, you think Rothschild controls Morgan and Rockefeller? I mean, just get out of here with that. Whatever, whatever. Look, look, let’s just do Ptech and I’ll be done, alright. I’ll do Ptech and I’ll be done.

And by the way, if you don’t want me to come back, I’ll never come back. It’s not a problem. So here it is. That’s fine. This is Ptech. This is Ptech. That’s fine. According to Mr. Bollyn, Ptech, the lynchpin, is a Mossad front. You know what it’s based on? You know what that’s based on? Here’s what it’s based on.

He finds somebody, who happened to be the marketing director, named Michael Goff, and since Michael Goff has connections to Israel, and some connection to Mossad, you
can remind us, this is proof for Mr. Bollyn, proof for Mr. Bollyn, that this is a Mossad front. Now this is the problem. Let me tell you what the problem is. Most of his work on Ptech is based on the work of Indira Singh. In fact, he’s got, in his book, “Solving 9/11,” eight full pages of her testimony on the subject. Indira Singh knows a heck of a lot more about Ptech than Chris Bollyn. Indira Singh met with Ptech. She started the whole inquiry into Ptech. And if you read her, and she’ll rattle off Arab name, after Arab name, after Arab name. This isn’t, this isn’t what he says, which is, this is just Mossad pretending to be Arab. This is, this is a Saudi Arabian company. Let me give you one example and I’ll stop. The one example..

[audience voices]

I’m almost done. Do you mind?

[you’ve been almost done for 15 minutes.]

Wow.

The man’s name is al-Qadi. OK? Some way or another al-Qadi is just, I don’t know, running interference for Mossad, Chris? Al-Qadi, by some accounts, is a billionaire. He’s extremely tied in with the Saudi royal family. He invested 20-25 million dollars in Ptech. They met in Jeddah to firm it up. Their chief scientist is an Arab.

END at 1:58:30

[applause]